

TO: Daniel Dietch, SCS Engineers

FROM: Mercury Public Affairs

DATE: July 8, 2025

SUBJECT: Report on Public Workshop #3

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Solid Waste Disposal and Recyclable Materials Processing Authority of Broward County ("Broward SWA") held a public meeting to review a draft Regional Solid Waste and Recycling Master Plan and receive feedback from attendees.

The plan outlines a coordinated strategy to build a modern, sustainable, and cost-effective waste management system to serve the Authority's 28 member cities and Broward County. The purpose of these meetings was to offer a critical opportunity for residents to help shape the final direction of the plan.

The meeting was held on Tuesday, June 17, 2025 from 6:30 p.m. – 9:30 p.m. at FTL Downtown Event Center, located at 416 NE 1st Street, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301.

#### Mayor Mike Ryan Opening Remarks

Mayor Mike Ryan, Chair of the Solid Waste Authority's Governing Board, emphasized the urgent need for Broward County to address its growing environmental and economic waste crisis. He criticized overreliance on landfilling, poor recycling, and loss of local control, urging collective action to reduce waste at the source, improve separation, and prioritize diversion strategies. Ryan contrasted Broward's contract-based waste management with Palm Beach County's integrated system, warning that past reliance on privatization has led to lower recycling and infrastructure issues.

He called for municipalities to unite, leverage their economic power, and invest in infrastructure, especially in diverting organics and construction waste. Ryan advocated for a countywide education campaign to improve recycling and reduce contamination, and suggested exploring limited expansion of existing facilities—only using proven, scalable technologies—to keep the 40-year Master Plan realistic and aligned with public interests.

#### **Mayor Beam Furr Opening Remarks**

Mayor Furr reflected on Broward's waste management history, noting the collapse of the Resource Recovery Board in 2015 and the challenges caused by a fragmented system. He praised leaders like Mayor Ryan for efforts to rebuild regional solutions and emphasized the



importance of managing waste responsibly, highlighting that Broward's former system supported sustainability initiatives before privatization and diverging interests led to its decline.

As chair of the Climate Change Task Force, Furr stressed that landfills are major greenhouse gas sources and that Broward's vulnerability to sea level rise makes sustainable waste practices critical. He highlighted initiatives like organic diversion and zero-waste efforts across sectors, urging local leaders to act boldly and collaboratively on the draft 40-year Master Plan, which will impact future generations.

#### **Public Comment Summary**

Community members and local officials shared strong opinions and recommendations regarding the Draft Solid Waste and Recycling Master Plan. Key themes included opposition to incineration, the need for stronger public education, and a call for coordinated, enforceable action toward zero waste. It is important to note that Waste-to-Energy (WTE) is one of several options currently under technical evaluation in the Draft Master Plan. No final decisions have been made, and community feedback is a critical part of the evaluation process.

#### **Key Themes:**

#### Widespread Opposition to Incineration/Waste-to-Energy (WTE):

Several speakers urged the complete removal of all references to incineration, WTE, and garbage burning from the plan. These technologies were called incompatible with true zero waste strategies. Commenters cited concerns about emissions, long-term health effects, and questioned their necessity.

#### Education & Outreach Needs:

There was strong consensus around the importance of intensive public education and transparent communication to drive behavior change and increase participation in recycling and composting. Suggestions included:

- Community-based partnerships and use of grassroots groups to amplify messaging (Rotary Clubs, non-profit organizations)
- Conducting outreach during Earth Day and/or other community events
- Visual improvements to public recycling systems
- Accessibility and convenience Additional drop off points, etc.
- o Incentives for cities and residents who adopt positive behaviors

#### Support for Unified Action:

While many speakers voiced concerns, there was also appreciation for the work completed thus far and a shared desire to move forward together with a coordinated, ambitious strategy.

#### • Suggestions for Plan Improvement & Implementation:

Residents offered several specific ideas to improve and implement the plan, including:

- Removing WTE from the state's recycling rate calculation to reflect a "true" recycling rate
- Including the Zero Waste Hierarchy pyramid graphic from the Zero Waste National Alliance



- o Strengthening inter-city collaboration and avoid unnecessary infighting, and;
- Using successful models from other cities (e.g., NYC) as proof that ambitious goals are achievable.

#### **Notable Feedback:**

- Mary Stevens (Pembroke Pines): Cited a 2020 town meeting opposing incinerators; called for a ban on Styrofoam and removing WTE from the plan.
- Tammy (Coconut Creek): Criticized pressure from county leaders to support WTE and described plastic recycling as a "fraud."
- Richard (Southwest Ranches): Advocated for enforcement of the 2022 county resolution banning single-use plastics, investment in infrastructure like public recycling bins, and improvement of public outreach through better visual cues.
- **Susan (Coconut Creek):** Urged the county to partner with nonprofits and improve data transparency, such as tracking recycling rates separately from WTE.
- **Marlon (Miramar):** Called for better communication with city staff and elected officials through workshops and coordinated messaging to guide decision-making.
- Monica (Southwest Ranches / League of Women Voters): Acknowledged the plan's progress and encouraged cities to avoid delays by not fixating on minor issues.
- **Sylvia (Hollywood):** Asked whether incineration counts toward the 70% waste reduction goal (confirmed it does); recommended incorporating outreach through events like Earth Day.

#### **How This Input Informs the Next Steps**

The Authority will carefully review all public input to refine the next version of the Solid Waste and Recycling Master Plan.

Key areas of focus include:

- Reviewing References to WTE: The Authority intends to address concerns regarding WTE in the final Solid Waste and Recycling Master Plan. Further analysis is currently underway.
- Further Analysis of Health and Environmental Justice Concerns: Issues concerning
  public health and environmental justice may warrant further study, such as Health
  Impact Assessment (HIA) or use of environmental justice screening tools (e.g., EPA's
  EJScreen) during further planning.
- **Expanding Education and Outreach:** Community-based partnerships, events, public infrastructure improvements, and incentives will help shape future outreach efforts.
- **Sustained Community Engagement:** The Authority is committed to keeping the public informed as the plan is revised.



#### What We Heard

- Opposition to Incineration/WTE Calls to remove all references, including in appendices.
- **Health & Equity Concerns** Fears about pollution, health risks, and disproportionate impacts.
- **Support for Education, Outreach & Incentives** Support for partnerships, outreach events, and reward programs.
- **Desire for Regional Collaboration** Calls for bold, unified action across communities.

#### What We're Doing About It

- Reviewing All Feedback & Criticism Evaluating whether and how WTE appears in the final plan.
- Exploring Public Health & Environmental Studies Considering tools like Health Impact Assessments and EJScreen.
- **Expanding Education & Outreach Efforts** Partnering with local groups, leveraging community events, and improving recycling infrastructure.
- **Committing to Ongoing Dialogue** Ensuring transparency and two-way communication.

#### **What Comes Next**

- Refining the Master Plan, based on public input and technical analysis.
- Continuing evaluation of waste management options with community concerns in mind.
- **Expanding public engagement opportunities** to gather feedback on revisions.
- **Providing regular updates as the plan evolves** ensuring the community remains informed and involved.



#### **APPENDIX**

- June 17th Meeting Agenda
- Presentation of Draft Master Plan
- In-Person Attendees
- Online Attendees
- Video Recording of Meeting

#### Solid Waste Disposal and Recyclable Materials Processing Authority of Broward County, Florida Outreach Workshop for the Public


June 17, 2025, 6:30 PM – 9:30 PM FTL Downtown Event Center 416 NE 1st Street Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301


#### AGENDA

- I. Welcome & Pledge of Allegiance
- II. Opening Comments (Chair and Vice Chair)
- III. Draft Master Plan Presentation
- IV. Public Comment
  - a. 2 minutes per person (one time only), time cannot be allocated to any other person.
- V. Closing Remarks (Chair and Vice Chair)
- VI. Adjournment









Introduction and Setting the Stage

Where Have We

Issues and Opportunities for Broward County Work Complete as Part of the Master Plan Recommended Path Forward

Call to Action

### Introductions



**Daniel Dietch**Project Manager



**Travis Barnes, MPA, LEED AP**Senior Consultant

### **Executive Summary**




**NOW** 

# **Enduring Principles**


Pathways to Reach 75% Recycling

**GOAL** 



- Behavior Change
- Economies of Scale
- Harmonize Services
- Resource Stewardship
- Environmental Stewardship
- Financial Stability

- Robust Education and Outreach
- Maximize Waste Minimization
- Increase Access to Recycling
- Optimize Organics Management
- Initiate Construction and Demolition Debris Management
- Enact Policies and Regulations
- Adopt Innovative Technologies
- Leverage Existing and Develop New Infrastructure or Procure Services, as needed
- Secure Long-Term Disposal Capacity




Introduction and Setting the Stage

Where Have We Been Issues and
Opportunities for
Broward County

Work Completed as Part of the Master Plan Recommended Path Forward Call to Action

# **Broward County Solid Waste History Timeline**



# Broward County SWA Governance Structure Overview

29


Governing Board Representatives

11

Executive Committee Representative on the Governing Board

> 29 ILA Members










Existing
Solid Waste System



1 Class I MSW
2 Class III Waste
3 C&D
4 White Goods
5 Yard Trash
6 Clean Debris
7 Recyclable Materials
8 HHW/E-Waste/Tres/Food Waste/Organics

Materials Accepted

### Project Background | Final Disposition of Municipal Solid Waste (2023)

4,896,585 tons
Total MSW Collected



1,488,456 tons
Total MSW Recycled

**527,638** tons MSW **Waste-to-Energy** 



**Population 1,973,579** 



1,006,428 tons
Certified MSW Recycled

**2,880,491** tons MSW Landfilled





482,028 tons
Non-Certified MSW Recycled



**39%** Adjusted Recycling **Rate** 






### Issues and Opportunities Facing Broward County


- Statutory Requirements (Fla. Stat. §403.706 (2021))
- Alignment of the Solid Waste Authority of Broward County Members
- Fragmented Approach to Solid Waste Management
- Limited Public Land
- Cost Uncertainty
- Vertical Integration of Solid Waste Service Providers Limits Competition
- Ample existing Solid Waste Management Infrastructure
- Waste Composition Analysis Highlights Recoverable Waste Stream Components
- It is a "Journey" to 75% Recycling




# Opportunities | Diversion/Recycling

## MSW Classification by Material Type (2024)





## C&D/Bulky Waste Classification by Material Type





# **Guiding Principles**



		•			
01	Control Your Solid Waste Management D		<b>Y</b>	<b>&amp;</b>	
02	Zero Waste Focus	•		<b>⇔</b>	
03	Environmental & Growth Management C	omp	lianc	e	
04	Long-term Disposal Solution	•	•		
05	Commercially-Proven Technologies	<b>(iii</b>	•		
06	Operational Excellence	<b>(a)</b>		•	•
07	Harmonization of Services County-wide	•	•		(
08	Best Value Service	•	•		

### **Key Policies**











01 40-year planning horizon

02 Out-of-County disposal/

Maximize use of existing infrastructure

intragovernmental partnerships

O4 Enact annual assessment to recover the full cost of services

O5 Apply reasonable buffers from residential properties

O6 Consider mandatory approaches to commercial recyclables and C&D

**USA EPA Waste Management Hierarchy** 

Source Reduction & Reuse

Recycling & Composting

**Energy Recovery** 

Treatment & Disposal



### **Work Completed**

## In-depth Research:

- Regulatory & Policy: Set rules to promote goal
- Education & Outreach: Communicate Policies
- Innovative Future Technologies: What is on the horizon
- Future Needs Assessments: Assess facilities and programs, how do they need to change to meet the County's Goal?

# Regulatory and Policy Framework





#### **Near Term Initiatives**

- Disposal Bans
- Diversion/Zero Waste Mandates
- Public-Private Partnerships
- Contractor Performance Incentives
- Government Purchasing Programs
- Material Bans



### **Investment Success Factors:**

- Uniformity Across Programs: Establishing consistency in program design and operations.
- Targeted Educational Messaging: Utilizing Community-Based Social Marketing techniques to effectively reach and influence diverse populations.
- Enhanced Compliance Measures/Enforcement: Strengthening policies to reduce contamination and ensure compliance.



### Innovative Future Technologies




# **Technology Planning Framework**

- Low risk and proven to lead to high diversion rates, for instance are best practices for waste reduction and reuse.
- Practical, competitive, and cost effective, which can be tailored to the Authority's unique geographic and demographic profile, including its coastal location and interconnectivity with neighboring counties.
- Continuous Improvement- preference for data-driven accountable metrics which automate repetitive, duplicative, and mistake-prone tasks.
- Provide long-term and sustainable processing, monitoring, communicating, safety enhancing methods which prioritize and promote operational efficiency and environmental stewardship.

### **Future Needs Assessment**

# Overview of Available Waste Approaches and Technologies Analyzed



# **Future Needs Assessment**

Projected Diversion Rate Tons Left to Send to Landfill in 2045	Scenario A 62% 2,700,000	Scenario B 79% 1,600,000	Scenario C 83% 1,300,000	Scenario D 51% 3,300,000	Scenario E 73% 2,100,000
Transfer Stations	3	3	3	3	3
Landfill Capacity	1	1	1	1	1
Single-Stream MRFs (Materials Recovery Facility)	2	2	2	0	2
Reuter's Recycling	1	1	1	0	1
MWPF (Mixed Waste Processing Facilities) + AD + Fiber	0	0	0	0	3
WTE (Waste to Energy)	0	1	1	0	0
AD (Anaerobic Digestion)	0	0	0	3	0
DRY MRFs	0	0	0	6	0
Organics Processing Campus	2	2	2	2	2
Mulch	2	2	2	2	2
CASP (Covered Aerated Static Piles) Zone	0	1	10	0	10
Biochar	1	1	1	1	1
Drop-Off Centers	8	8	8	8	8
C & D (Construction & Demolition) Recovery facilities	2	2	2	2	2



### **Future Needs Assessment**

### **Siting Criteria Summary**


Technology	Capacity Needed Per Facility (TPY)	Minimum Site Area for Individual Facilities (AC)	Offset from Residential Zoning (Mile(s)	Parcel Ownership	Zoning	Transportation Travel Time to Major Road	Proximity to Airport
SS RMPF	250,000	20	0.25	X	×	X	7
Mulch Facility	175,500	10	0.25				
Biochar	30,000	2	0.5				
Organics Processing Campus	380,000	10	1.0			Exclude	
Aerobic Digester	160,000	7	0.25	Must be	Vacant,	properties more than	
C&D RMPF	450,000	15	0.5	County or	Industrial, Commercial,	five miles	N/A
Dry MRF	160,000	15	0.25	municipally owned	and	from a	
MWP (Fiber Extraction, AD & MRF)	330,000	30	0.5	\	Agricultural	Collector or + Arterial Road	
Public Drop-Off Recycling Center	12,000	0.5	NA			\	
Transfer Station	1,240,000	15	0.25				K
WTE	1,000,000	30	0.5	/			<4 mi.
Landfill	3,400,000	640	1.0	~	~	K T	<4 mi.






## Strategic Priorities for Advancing the Master Plan









- Develop an education and outreach plan that supports behavior change.
- Refine, build consensus, and execute an effective communications strategy.
- Leverage economies of scale to secure financial security.
- Maximize the use of existing solid waste management infrastructure.

- Determine where and when to build new solid waste facilities.
- Harmonize services across the Authority.
- Creating consensus and alignment amongst the ILA Members for the changes needed to meet the Master Plan goals.

#### Recommendations



### **Policies and Ordinances:**

- Harmonize minimum curbside waste collection setout standards.
- Expand single-stream recycling access.
- Require yard waste to be recycled.
- Enact a mandatory C&D recycling ordinance.
- Enact a mandatory commercial recycling ordinance.
- **Develop additional residential drop-off centers** for hard-to-recycle and hazardous materials.
- Explore food waste collection options and impacts.
- Implement supporting policies and actions.

#### Recommendations

#### Education, Outreach, and Communications Plan:

- Invest in a sustained communications and outreach program.
- **Become the trusted voice** to deliver waste and recycling-related information.
- Partner with a wide range of stakeholders to increase engagement with their networks.
- Communicate with the community in multiple ways via a combination of approaches.
- Utilize existing and emerging technology to better engage with residents.
- Set clear, achievable milestones and develop uniform reporting.
- Leverage future authority facilities as outreach hubs.



### Recommendations



# Innovative Technologies Adoption:

- **Develop overarching strategies** for new technology implementation within the Authority's control.
- Adopt a preference for autonomous processes and continuous improvement utilizing AI, blockchain, Web 3.0, and Internet of Things (IoT).
- Implement a management system that utilizes a common network of tools that maximizes cross-platform compatibility and connectivity.
- Establish Technology Needs Committee to research and provide recommendations on overarching strategies, technologies to pursue, and schedule of implementation.

### **Recommendations**

# **Organics Management**

- Deploy guidance to all ILA Members to require clean yard trash separation requirements and delivery to either a specified public or private processing facility for processing.
- Develop requirements and regulatory underpinnings for the beneficial use of yard trash and eventually food waste.
- Work with ILA Members and Authority committees on future separated food waste management.



#### Recommendations

#### **Scenario A Overview**

#### **Programs**

- · Restore **Recycling Services** to 20% of Broward County
- Add segregated Curbside Yard Waste (YW) Collection
- · Add Food Waste (FW) Drop-Off Events
- Add Permanent Household Hazardous Waste (HHW)/Electronics/Recycling Drop-Off Sites
- Add reduction, reuse, and diversion programs and policies
- Consider reducing MSW to 1x/week

#### Additional Facility Needs and Capacity of Each Facility Tons Per Year (TPY)

- 2 Single- Stream Material Recovery Facilities (250,000 TPY each)
- · 2 New Organics Processing Sites with:
  - 2 Mulch/ Colorizing Operations (175,000 TPY each)
  - 2 New Biochar Pyrolysis operation (30,000k TPY)
- 2 C&D Recovery Facilities (450,000 TPY each)
- · 8 Permanent Drop-Off Centers (2,400 TPY each)
- 3 Transfer Stations (North, South, Central Broward County)
- · 1 Landfill

#### Target Diversion Rate Increase

+23 percentage points to 62%



## **Recommendations**

# Scenario A - Estimated Facility Costs (excluding land acquisition)

Description	Qty	Capacity (TPY/Facility)	CapEx/ Facility	Annual OpEx/ Facility
SS RMPF	2	250,000	\$72M	\$14M
Yard Waste Mulch Facility	2	175,000	\$5M	\$1.8M
Biochar Pyrolysis	1	30,000	\$10M	\$700k
C&D RMPFs	2	450,000	\$41M	\$19M
Public Drop-Off Centers	8	12,000	\$140k	\$1M
Transfer Stations	3	890,000	\$47M	\$31M
Landfill	1	3M	\$880M	\$229M

Introduction and Setting the Stage

Where Have We

Issues and oportunitie Work Completed

Recommended Path Forward



## Implementation Plan and Timeline



(2029)

- Secure LT Capacity
- Rec. Processing
- Disposal
- Begin Procurement
- C/D Rec. Facility #1

(2030)

- Begin Procurement
- SS RMRF #1
- Org. Process #2
- Trans. Station #2

2032

- Finalize Modifications to Service Agreements
- Begin Procurement
- C/D Rec. Facility #2

2035

- Begin Procurement
- Trans. Station #3

<del>--(</del>2047)

- Secure LT Capacity
- Disposal
- Begin Procurement
- SS RMRF #2



## **Next Steps**


## Short Term (1 - 3 years)

- Convene public workshops
- Revise and issue the final Master Plan based on public input
- Engage ILA and non-ILA Members
- Adopt the Master Plan and transition from strategy to tactics
- Leverage existing and establish new Authority subcommittees
- Develop supporting legislation and Authority policies
  - C&D management
  - Yard Trash
  - Flow control
  - Harmonize materials management
- Create and implement a sustainable financial plan
- Deploy the Authority Communications Plan
- Prepare RFPs for recyclable materials, yard trash, C&D management, and solid waste disposal

## Intermediate Term (4 – 6 years)

Assess additional infrastructure needs

#### Call to Action





# Feedback


#### **Todd Storti**

Executive Director
Solid Waste Authority of Broward County
<a href="mailto:tstorti@browardswa.org">tstorti@browardswa.org</a>
https://browardswa.org/

## **Future Needs Assessment**


#### North Alpha 250 Setback and Buildable Area

Facility Type	Capacity	Minimum Acreage Required Based on Based on Capacity	Available Acreage of Alpha 250 North	City of Pompano Beach Zoning Complaint	Minimum Setback to Residential Zoning	North Alpha 250 Ability to Accommodate Facilities
SS RMPF	250,000	20	7.85	No	0.25 miles	No
Mulch Facility	175,000	10	7.85	Yes	0.25 miles	No
C&D RMPF	450,000	15	7.85	Yes	0.50 miles	No
Organics Processing Campus – Scenario A (Much and Biochar Pyrolysis Facilities)	380,000	18	7.85	No	0.5 miles	No
Public Drop-Off Recycling Center	12,000	0.5	7.85	No	Not Applicable	No
Transfer Station	1,240,000	15	7.85	Yes	0.25 miles	No
Landfill	3,400,000	640	7.85	No	1-mile	No



## **Future Needs Assessment**

# Location of County and Municipally-Owned Sites within Broward County that Meet Screening Criteria



Site Identifier	Ownership	Location	Site Size (Acreage)	Scenario A Facility Types
AA	Unincorporated Broward County	East of Ft. Lauderdale Int. Airport, South of I-595		Public Drop-Off Recycling Center
DD	Unincorporated Broward County	West of Ft. Lauderdale Int. Airport, South of I-595	7.88	Public Drop-Off Recycling Center
E (Includes the Alpha 250 Parcel)	City of Pompano Beach	East of the FL Turnpike, South of Sample Road	27.89	SS RMPF Mulch Facility Public Drop-Off Recycling Center Transfer Station
EE	Unincorporated Broward County	West of I-95, South of I-595	42.19	SS RMPF Mulch Facility Public Drop-Off Recycling Center Transfer Station
W	Unincorporated Broward County	East of Ft. Lauderdale Int. Airport, East of NW 7th Ave	6.13	Public Drop-Off Recycling Center
00	Unincorporated Broward County	East of I-75, North of Sheridan Street	65.57	SS RMPF, Mulch Facility Public Drop-Off Recycling Center Transfer Station
U	City of Hollywood.	Southwest of Port Everglades, South of Eller Dr.	3.59	Public Drop-Off Recycling Center
Z	Unincorporated Broward County	East of Ft. Lauderdale Int. Airport, East of NW 7th Ave	19.51	SS RMPF Mulch Facility Organics Processing Campus – Scenario A (Much and Biochar Pyrolysis Facilities) C&D RMPF Public Drop-Off Recycling Center Transfer Station
Broward A	Unincorporated Broward County	South of Ft. Lauderdale Int. Airport, North of NE 10th St	6.87	Public Drop-Off Recycling Center





# Financial Framework | Funding Pathways



## **Essential Take-Away's**









FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	PHONE	CITY
Henry	Mead		
Susar	Stenhauser	516 732 2854	Coconut Geek
Harry	Marite	757-510-5124	Coconut Creek
Monica	Elliott	954-558-7876	SW Ranches
Over	Gaither	913 384 - 9755	Hollywood
Sylvia	Meyer	954.864.3165	Horrywood.
Jessica	sneed	954 786 4997	Pompana
Robert	Primeau	561-676-3166	Ft Lawedde
704	Haugen	9548049710	F4 Lauder Clote
Notosua	Dustin	954-474-1880	Brownd Cfez

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	PHONE	CITY
MIKE	DECLERCK	585-303-8464	WM
Scott	Albano	561 302 9574	city of Pomphro
Jim	Albertton	305 903 7893	SWP.
Grever M.A.	Fisher	954-357-6410	Broward Conty
Mada Lopa	164	9/369 7332	Brownd Only Tamoral
Tamas Left	helleti	561-300-0686	
,			

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	PHONE	CITY
RICHARD	EARCHORTAR	786-423-1646	SWR.
>~	TA-Kel	2,05 (9/23))	Sw Rando
rada	055	9/3182727	Cogn of
GARY	J ABLOWSKE	954830-879	S.W. R. Am Cuts
Raymor	Magine	407-496-0546	Pompar

ONLINE ATTENDEES	
Name	Email
Louis DiVita	ldivita@comcast.net
Pi'ilani	piilani88@gmail.com
Mike Ewall	mike@energyjustice.net
Matt	mac_swim1956@yahoo.com
Steve Ganon	sganon@att.net
Luciano Isla	lucianoisla@bellsouh.net
Shell	sdselt.seltzer949@gmaill.com

**Total Attendance: 28** 

- 21 in-person
- 7 virtual

#### Video Recording:

Click here to watch the June 17, 2025 Meeting.