



Speaker 1 - 05:12

All right.



Speaker 2 - 05:12

We're going to get everybody together, even though we're a little short on quorum.



Speaker 3 - 05:16

If we could. We do.



Speaker 2 - 05:30

All right.



Speaker 4 - 05:32

Okay.



Speaker 2 - 05:38

All right, I'm going to call to order the executive committee meeting of October 16, 2026. If you would please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.



Speaker 3 - 05:56

A nation under God.



Speaker 5 - 06:03

All right, if you would please call the roll.



Speaker 6 - 06:05

Chair Ryan.



Speaker 2 - 06:06

Present.



Speaker 6 - 06:08

Vice Chair Furr.



Speaker 3 - 06:09

Here.



Speaker 6 - 06:10

Member Shuham. Member Horland.



Speaker 7 - 06:16

She's online.



Speaker 3 - 06:17

Online.



Speaker 6 - 06:19

Member Dunn. Member Ridell.



Speaker 4 - 06:24

Here.



Speaker 6 - 06:24

Member Meade.



Speaker 3 - 06:26

Here.



Speaker 6 - 06:27

Member Cagiano. Member Newton. Alternate Murphy. Salamone. Alternate Bright. Cruz.

 Speaker 3 - 06:42

Here.

 Speaker 6 - 06:43

Alternate. Senator Geller.

 Speaker 8 - 06:45

Here.

 Speaker 6 - 06:47

Member Bowman.

 Speaker 2 - 06:50

Thank you, Mr. Cole.

 Speaker 9 - 06:52

We have a quorum for the executive committee.

 Speaker 2 - 06:54

All right. Just as a matter of transparency, I need to leave in about 15 minutes. At that point, we may lose a quorum, obviously, of the executive committee. There are a few items that we should vote on. I'll just take it out of order, and then we'll go to public comment in a moment. First, we have the American Recycles Day proclamation. I have a motion to move that up. Item 11.



Speaker 8 - 07:14

So moved.



Speaker 2 - 07:14

Member Ridell. Motion second by second member. Member Bright, Cruz. We have the proclamation, a discussion on that item. Can I have a motion on the item? Motion by member Dunn. Second member Ridell. Any discussion? All in favor say aye. Any opposed? Okay, that passes. Meeting minutes of October 2, 2026. Can I have a motion on that? Motion by member Ridell. Seconded by second member Shuham. Any discussion on that? Call a question? No, actually. All in favor say aye. Any opposed? Okay, that passes. Because I have to leave. I want to take a moment and really, the only reason I'm here, one, to make sure we had a quorum on a few things. But today is the last meeting of our vice chair. Beam Firm Beam has been a part of this process that began before we began.



Speaker 2 - 08:10

His commitment to the environment, to the issues that are important to us, for resiliency, to issues of trash, even when weren't discussing it in this forum, have been pervasive throughout his professional career and really dates back to how he grew up here in South Florida. And he carries it forward today, more to the point of where we are today. I remember after the RV fell apart and the cities were all in different directions, and we saw the fracture that was occurring in our community. A few of us saw that the recycling rates were going to tumble, that the market was collapsing, and this just simply wasn't good government, and it wasn't what we expect of ourselves. Mayor Stermer, myself, and Commissioner Furr sat down over a coffee and said, something's got to change.



Speaker 2 - 09:04

To put it in context though, you have to understand that at that point there had been a lot of resentment with the county. The cities had been at odds. We filed suit against the county. It had been a rough process through the resolution of the dispute of the RV wrap up. But there was one person who could carry the credibility of what we needed to focus on in the bigger picture. Someone sitting on the county commission who could say, look, let's put everything in the past and let's do something for the greater good. And that was Commissioner Furr. From that moment when we met and then gathered with other mayors who talked about Alpha250 and all sorts of other

things, Commissioner Furr was there at every point.



Speaker 2 - 09:47

And make no mistake, there were a lot of rough elbows over the years as we worked through the solid waste working group and tried to formulate this. But Commissioner Furr principled position was always about the greater good. At times the cities obviously had a different view, in part because of legacy issues. But to the credit of Beam Furr, he was able to bring the cities together, to galvanize us and to bring all of his colleagues along unanimously. And I thank Senator Geller for being here. He's also been part of this process. Today will be the last day that vice Chair Commissioner Furr is here as a member of the swa. We know he'll continue to watch what we're doing and his influence will carry us through as we proceed forward.



Speaker 2 - 10:40

But I wanted to take a point of personal privilege, as I know my colleagues will as well, to say, Commissioner Furr, job well done and thank you. Make no mistake, it's an emotional moment for him, not only because he'll have to preside over this meeting,.



Speaker 8 - 11:14

But.



Speaker 2 - 11:14

But that he has sincerely carried our mission. And we are so grateful that he has been that mortar to keep the cities and the county together.



Speaker 3 - 11:30

We have a long way to go.

Speaker 2 - 11:31



And we have a very difficult road. But we wouldn't be able to sit here today were it not for Commissioner Furr. And we owe him a deep level of gratitude. On a personal level, I'm going to just miss them. Someone who you could talk to and transcend the moment of politics. To put there's too much I in politics and not enough teamwork. And Commissioner Furr has helped us to make sure that we're all kind of trying to do that in our own personal way. And I think the SWA is just one aspect of his influence that he's had in the county and what he's done for the environment and what he's done to galvanize the region and bring counties and those dedicated to resiliency together.



Speaker 2 - 12:26

But this is an important legacy and we owe to Commissioner Furr ourselves and the next generation that could keep the hard work going to make sure that your legacy continues. So, on behalf of myself personally, the city of Sunrise and the swa, deep level gratitude and words cannot sum up the impact you've had. So thank you again. I'll turn it to my colleagues.



Speaker 7 - 12:58

Nothing prepared other than to. I just want to thank you, Chair, for those words. You know, it's. It's getting real. And on behalf of the city of Hollywood, for the past 24 years, you have kept what's important at the forefront. And I think, Mike, you said it. Sometimes it just gets lost. Like, what are we doing? Why are we arguing? What are we fighting for? And you just have such a clear vision, and because of you, this team has held together. So I just want to say personally, thank you. Well, I'm saying thank you today. I may regret that. Thank you for making the priorities clear. Really deeply appreciate it. And good luck out there on the green.



Speaker 2 - 13:45

Remember me.



Speaker 10 - 13:46

Thank you, Chair.



Speaker 11 - 13:49

Well, we're going to miss your experience and your knowledge and the wealth of information that you brought to the table. You've been here from the beginning, and that's a testament to your longevity and your stick to it. I don't know why all those motions to have the county pay for it haven't taken root.



Speaker 2 - 14:09

Is that. Is that a motion? Is there a second? This is.



Speaker 9 - 14:12

He could do this.



Speaker 2 - 14:13

This is on the. On the way out. What a legacy that would be. I think we would all agree to name a building after him, wouldn't we?



Speaker 11 - 14:20

Exactly. I thought it was due to lack of a second. I wasn't sure. But anyway, we're going to miss you. Thank you for being here and all you've done.



Speaker 2 - 14:28

Member breakers.



Speaker 3 - 14:29

Thank you.



Speaker 12 - 14:29

Yeah, I just want to express my thanks personally and for our town. I remember having been involved in this whole process, certainly as long as you have or many others have. But I'll never always remember when you came to our town and really laid out this whole process and why it was important for us to be a part of it. And I'm sure that was replicated all over the county. But it made a difference for us and we joined and we became part of the process and Greg was there as well. But occasionally in this process, there's the right person at the right time for the right job and that was you. So thank you so much.



Speaker 4 - 15:16

Appreciate everything.



Speaker 2 - 15:19

Member Geller with his eternal optimism.



Speaker 8 - 15:21

Oh, no, I just want to say.



Speaker 4 - 15:24

I'm not gonna say anything today. I'm saving my remarks for the County Commission. I didn't want anybody think I'm not a huge fan of be, but I'm saving my remarks for the County Commission.



Speaker 3 - 15:37

Member Thomas.



Speaker 1 - 15:38

Thank you. Good morning. I'm sorry I came in a little late and did not see Mayor Ryan tear up, but I saw it at the door. But I, too, want to express my thanks to you. When I came into this committee four years ago, I didn't know what the heck I was doing. I didn't know why I was selected and continue to be selected to be here. But you have always exemplified grace to me. You've always exemplified a knowledge of what you're doing, always welcoming. No matter where I see you, whether here or anyplace else, you exemplify that welcoming spirit. And I'm very thankful for that and your knowledge and what you bring to the table. So all the best to you. There is a time, a start in time, and there is an end in time for it.



Speaker 1 - 16:33

But your time hasn't ended altogether. It's just ended here. And I thank you so much for what you've brought to the table. Thank you.



Speaker 3 - 16:43

Member Dunn,.



Speaker 13 - 16:46

Vice Chair. I learned so much from you. Resiliency is not an area of expertise for me. And so I've had to rely on your knowledge and the knowledge of my colleagues here around the table. And you have been a steady, consistent voice. And I want to thank you. I want to thank you for your courage. I want to thank you for your persistence. I want to thank you for helping me to understand how important this is for everyone. You know, in my community, this is not necessarily a number one priority.



Speaker 1 - 17:21

Right.



Speaker 13 - 17:22

And I'm just really grateful. I've learned a lot from you. Thank you so much. And please know that when I have questions, I'll still be calling you.



Speaker 8 - 17:31

Member Ridell, I think, is the one person in this room who went to Moore County Commissions yelling at you and about issues you supported. I think, you know, I'll share just briefly, what I said to you before the meeting started. In a day and age over the past five years where it's become harder and harder to be an elected official. It's been harder and harder to fight for real issues that affect our community. And people question the motives of why you fight for those things that matter, this group being one of them. I thank you for your professionalism, your Advocacy. We were not always on the same side, but I learned this early in my legal career and I think the chair would agree with me.



Speaker 8 - 18:12

Two good advocates can advocate on an issue and if they have the ability of shaking hands and either going to get a beer or a cup of coffee, after which we've always been able to do, that's the definition of professionalism. And I think I'll leave it at this. I think you have exuded knowledge and professionalism. So thank you for the service in our community and I look forward to the new steward that is going to be. Is going to be taken. Taken your place. But you have very big shoes to fill, sir. Thank you.



Speaker 2 - 18:42

Member Sorensen.



Speaker 14 - 18:44

Mr. Chair, can I join in the Beam Love Fest?



Speaker 3 - 18:47

Is this.

Speaker 2 - 18:47



Can I just tag on there?



Speaker 14 - 18:50

Just wanted to just. On behalf of myself and the city of Fort Lauder, Beam, thank you for your advocacy and for having some great conversations with me before I joined this esteemed body to help understand importance, context, what's at stake, how we can work together, the opportunities. And then when I was on the board here, you just coming to our city commission meetings and helping us as a city better understand and align and move forward. And I credit you with, and Mr. Chair as well, with the reason for why we are here now as a body, as a community, as a county. And so I appreciate that and I'll just separately from that also just appreciate your partnership with us in the city, in other tasks and initiatives we've been.



Speaker 3 - 19:47

Working on for a while and we'll keep working on.



Speaker 14 - 19:50

And you've just been such a great servant and partner and I just look forward to more.



Speaker 3 - 19:55

So thank you, Mr.



Speaker 4 - 19:56

Chair.



Speaker 2 - 19:57

Thank you, Member Sergeant.



Speaker 10 - 20:02

On behalf of the City of Coral Springs, we just want to thank you for your amazing leadership. You have led by example over the years and as an elected official, just being in the audience, not always interacting with you, I was always impressed with the way you carried yourself and was able to really go after an issue with respect and integrity on behalf of the city. Also, you need to know that were talking about the solid waste authority issues in our retreats and workshops and so on, and my former colleague, Vice Mayor Nancy Mater, had nothing but respect for you. So please know that we appreciate everything that you've done. You've laid an amazing foundation with the rest of the group and we will carry the torch to get this done.



Speaker 12 - 20:54

Thank you.



Speaker 7 - 20:56

Member Horland has her hand raised as well.



Speaker 9 - 20:58

Yes, go ahead.



Speaker 15 - 21:01

Thank you very much. Good morning. Good morning, everyone. Beam. I'm sorry, I Couldn't be there today. I've said this to you privately, but I'm going to say it publicly. You are the best of us, one of the best elected officials in this county. And you have always led with integrity and an unwavering courage in your convictions. And I think, you know, it's been said, we've all learned so much from you, but it's how you hold yourself in the difficult times that I respect so much. And not only are you leaving an environmental legacy, but I've also been privileged to serve with you on Broward Reeds. And I know how much improving literacy in our county is to you, and I just want to thank you. An excellent elected official, but really an excellent man. And I wish you the best in your next chapter.



Speaker 3 - 21:51

Thank you.



Speaker 2 - 21:51

Member Horland.



Speaker 9 - 21:53

All right, remember,.



Speaker 3 - 21:57

Floor is yours.



Speaker 2 - 22:01

Yes, sir. Make sure the mic's on. Make sure the mic's on so the millions at home can hear you.



Speaker 8 - 22:12

On the bottom. Thank you very much.



Speaker 4 - 22:15

Yeah.



Speaker 8 - 22:17

I just want to say on behalf of myself, Cooper City, everyone that we worked with, you are a gentleman. You are a gentleman. A gentleman. We have always worked together, and it was a pleasure doing all the cities and the rides and the commissions that we did all together, coming at it from different points of view, educating. And Commissioner Ray Doe says, you know, sometimes we don't just. We don't agree on everything. When we didn't, we always learned to disagree agreeably and in doing so, educated everyone from the different points of view. You were a vice chair with me from the group to the organization, but you were more of a co chair. And the enthusiasm, the professionalism, the knowledge, second to none. You will be missed. Thank you very much.



Speaker 2 - 23:11

Thank you, Mary.



Speaker 3 - 23:15

Mainly, I just want to say, don't screw this up. You know, we've gotten this far. Don't screw it up. Thank you all. Thank you very much. I appreciate it. Yeah, I'll get choked up if I got too much.



Speaker 2 - 23:37

All right, we'll try not to screw it up.



Speaker 3 - 23:38

Yeah, don't screw it up.



Speaker 2 - 23:41

All right, at this time, I need to leave, so I'm going to hand the gavel over so you can transition from that to taking again. Thank you. And let's one more time, just a big round of applause. Just make that motion on the way out.



Speaker 3 - 24:04

See what I did? Thank you all. Okay, public comment. We're going to start with Susan.



Speaker 16 - 24:28

It's back on. Yes.



Speaker 17 - 24:30

Had I realized this was your last.



Speaker 16 - 24:31

Day, I absolutely would have just taken all three minutes to praise you. And say thank you. Earlier this week, I sent a letter to Commissioner Ryan, Vice Mayor. Vice. Oh, my God. Vice Chair Ryan, Vice Chair for. Also to Executive Director May and to Mr. Deutsch thanking you guys for the October 2nd meeting.



Speaker 1 - 24:53

Right.



Speaker 16 - 24:54

We learned about the global agreement the impact is going to have on the RFP process. Wanted to thank Vice Chair Furr for your comments about making sure we protect the environment within the RFP as well as Mayor Sorry, Chair Ryan's comments about the circular economy and the need to make sure that we're protecting the resources that we get back from that circular economy. The letter that we sent was on behalf of five different environmental organizations. It's the usual suspects. Climate Reality Project, North Broward, Palm Beach County League of Women Voters, Broward Clean Air, Broward Sierra, and also the Zero Waste Broward Task Force. So in unity, we are standing together asking for three things. Number one, that the executive committee and the governing board heed the remarks that were stated at that meeting. Okay. Protecting the environment.



Speaker 16 - 25:43

Making sure that the RFP process issued, that the rfp, that the proposals that come back are viewed, and that the contracts be written with a zero through a zero waste lens. That we not lose sight of the environment. Air, water, soil, greenhouse gas emissions.



Speaker 1 - 26:01

Right.



Speaker 16 - 26:01

That needs to be part of it. In addition, what we're asking is basically for two things. Number one, would the executive committee please consider adding community stakeholder engagement? We recognize the importance and we agree 100% the comments about needing to have the industry input. Right. And by the way, thank you to Kevin and to Matt. Happy belated birthday. Again, Matt, for the presentations. They were fabulous. And absolutely that timeline is tight.



Speaker 6 - 26:32

Right.



Speaker 16 - 26:33

For all the work that needs to be done. We have complete faith that it can be done. And we believe that stakeholder community stakeholder engagement would help. So please count on us wherever it would beneficial, we would like that to happen. And that basically would also allow for early public engagement. We're not 100% sure what that would look like, but we'd like to partner with the executive committee, partner with the communications team and see what that might look like to have community stakeholder engagement in the RFP process. Because we're out in the community. Right. And for the next year, we're going to continue to talk to our friends, our neighbors, our family, our leaders within our community. Right. Our commission up Coconut Creek for me personally, about why this is important.



Speaker 16 - 27:22

And we have a year to show that progress is being made and that the environment's being protected. Do you guys have Anything to add. Anything to add. All right, thank you.



Speaker 3 - 27:33

Your letter was well received, so I was glad to see that and kind of make sure we get those priorities right. So thank you.



Speaker 16 - 27:40

Appreciate it y' all and thank you again. I know we haven't heard the last of you.



Speaker 3 - 27:44

Next, Bob Healing.



Speaker 18 - 27:50

Good morning. Bob Healey, FCC Environmental the owner operator of the waste energy facility here in Broward County. First of all, beam thank you for your input. Thank you for your industry knowledge. It's been a pleasure to work with you during the Solid Waste Authority formation and getting us to where we are today. But even before that, the global agreement, prior ownership with Waste Management, the whole Willowbreder facility. So we go back away your knowledge on the industry. Thank you so much.



Speaker 3 - 28:17

Thank you.



Speaker 18 - 28:18

So as you know, FCC is the owner operator of the waste energy facility located at 441 and 595. We've had the wonderful pleasure to partner with the municipalities, the haulers, the industry and work in conjunction together to better handle the disposal needs for the county. We just wanted to take a minute and kind of express how we think we align with the goals and the objectives of the Solid Waste Authority to set up the long term disposal needs for the county. And we also want to point out it's not a one way street. We, we like to give back to the community. Most of municipalities where we've sought our help be it support, attendance, community giving back, we believe that's important. So we don't want this to be a one way street and we look forward to that on a long term basis.



Speaker 18 - 29:15

But to take a minute in alignment with the goals of the Solid Waste Authority going back to the very beginning, 10, 11 years ago, whatever this has been where we've been involved in it. The waste energy facility has been operating now for about 36 years in partnership with the majority of the municipalities in the county. We currently service 20 of the municipalities for their disposal needs as well as the county. We've had as many as 26 of the municipalities utilizing the facility over the past years. But we think our partnership aligns pretty well with what the goals are. The biggest one being environmental performance.



Speaker 18 - 29:55

Utilization of waste energy versus Landfill disposal limits generation of methane gas reduces the carbon footprint from long haul and trash from transfer stations which are needed in the county as a part of this as a supplement to the waste energy, the facility does have its limitation in terms of capacity side. So with the infrastructure that's in place in South Florida, we think we complement things pretty well. Preserving the landfill capacity be it in Broward county or outside the county for future needs. Critical as we move forward. Be hard pressed to see another landfill. Class 1 landfill in Broward County. I don't think it'll happen in my time, that's for sure. But as we need to utilize those outsides for supplementing what we do with the waste energy, we think that's important. We recycle metals out of the waste energy facility.



Speaker 18 - 30:45

I don't know a lot of people understand that, but both ferrous and non ferrous metals are recycled out of the facility. Critical component as we move forward. Waste energy is recognized in the state of Florida as recycling. If you look at waste energy, it's pretty prevalent in Florida around the state. And in order for us to get to the 70% goal, which has always been the goal of the county as set up by the state and continues to be part of the plan strategy for solid waste authority, Waste energy will continue hopefully to be a vital part of that. We reduced the carbon footprint, as I said, by not having to haul long ways.



Speaker 18 - 31:25

The FCC facility, the Waste Energy Century located in Broward county, we've been able to work with the municipalities and the haulers to optimize routing structures to help keep the cost down from collection standpoint in the municipalities. It's not a day goes by that I don't deal with the haulers in terms of directing the flow of the volume that best meets their needs and also the needs of the municipalities. We try to divert any waste that we need to the transfer stations veterans closest proximity to the other alternative disposal sites or transfer stations in the county. We think that's a very vital part to making the most economical. Okay. The biggest point I want to point out, if you haven't visited the facility, please do so. Please reach out to us, come tour the facility, get a look at it.



Speaker 18 - 32:13

I think with hands on feet on the ground, you'll get a full understanding of what we have to offer. So we look forward to being part of the Southwest authority on a long term basis.



Speaker 3 - 32:21

Thank you.



Speaker 18 - 32:21

Thank you.



Speaker 3 - 32:22

Thank you.



Speaker 18 - 32:23

Thank you again.



Speaker 3 - 32:24

Thank you. I think that's it for public comment. We'll go through executive director update. Sam.



Speaker 19 - 32:33

Thank you, Vice Chair. So as you know, we've been getting these one page of reports back from all the cities and we've got most all the information that we need. We're still reaching out to a few cities to kind of tweak some of the information we've received from you. So just know that we'll be Reaching out to your TAC members, sometimes actually through your, you know, city managers or through public works directors to get this information, if you have it, great. If you don't, just guide us to the people that. That may have the information. But we're very close to getting everything that we need.



Speaker 19 - 33:05

Mainly it's the contracts we have, so we can, you know, put everything together so we have the right flow control

and know when all the contracts are expiring, and so we'll know where we are with, you know, getting the disposal set up. But I want to thank you also, Beam. You know, I know that, you know that everyone mentioned about your professionalism and expertise and knowledge, but I want to bring up the passion that you brought to every meeting when were out there. And, you know, I'll be going to these meetings out there, you know, when they get to yes. And, and a lot of times I was nervous out there, not knowing. And then all of a sudden Beam would show up. You know, it's like it would just take it right away from me. The calmness would come over me.



Speaker 19 - 33:43

So I want to thank you for being.



Speaker 20 - 33:45

Thank you.



Speaker 19 - 33:45

Part of that get the yes vote and bringing your passion to the table as well as your knowledge to the table. When we, when went there, so made it where. I think with you being there, it. It got us to.



Speaker 3 - 33:57

Yes, it was a good team. Good team.



Speaker 19 - 33:59

So thank you very much.



Speaker 3 - 34:00

Thank you. So on all the contracts. So we have from every city now, so we know where everybody is. We don't have a contract.



Speaker 19 - 34:08

We don't have. We don't have all the contracts from every city, but we've contacted them all and they're getting the contracts to us.



Speaker 3 - 34:13

Okay, so what's that? And we don't have.



Speaker 19 - 34:18

I don't have a list right now, but I do know I need to contact. Get some information from your city, though.



Speaker 3 - 34:23

But.



Speaker 19 - 34:23

Yeah, okay, then we'll. We'll, you know, some of the things. Like I said, I'm not sure exactly all the cities, but there's a few cities that we don't have everything from. So we're working with all of them. So just.



Speaker 3 - 34:36

And are we. Are we analyzing these to find out which contracts can actually kind of pivot to yard waste separation? All those kinds, 100%. Okay.



Speaker 19 - 34:48

You know, we're hoping that it has in there where the designated facilities are not bound by the contract, that they have an open clause in there where we can send it to where they want to send it to. And we're looking at the expiration of the contracts and everything else, you know, so.



Speaker 3 - 35:04

Okay, that's great. That's great. All right, thank you. SES Procurement Strategy Update.



Speaker 10 - 35:13

Morning, Vice chair, executive director, general counsel, members of the executive committee. Just as a point of privilege, if I may, and I'll keep it simple, you really are a beacon of good governance and you set the high bar and a great example for all to follow. So thank you for your engagement and all that you do. The procurements. These are probably the simplest procurements that any of us have ever worked on. There is nothing easy about it. But I want to commend professional staff and the county that has been a great partner in fortifying us with information and resources to help us put together the best possible solicitations. I think everybody knows there are three solicitations that are being developed, one for recyclable materials, one for yard trash, and one for everything else, solid waste.



Speaker 10 - 36:08

Part of the reason that the engagement with the county is so important is the direction that we've been given is to include all of the elements of the what we're referring to as the global agreement in the solicitation so that we really do get the best possible services that are reflective of what many ILA members currently gain the benefit of in terms of the integration of the system. My apologies. So we are making good progress on each of the solicitations at this point. We really don't have policy direction that we're seeking from this body. We're working through the executive director on a number of points. Some of the considerations for your benefit is we may be staggering the release of solicitations, and that really is reflective of the marketplace. And we think that it's going to allow for a better approach.



Speaker 10 - 37:08

We're going to start with the recyclable materials, and that's really going to set the template in terms of the terms and conditions and sort of the structure of the solicitations.



Speaker 3 - 37:17

What do you see going next?



Speaker 10 - 37:19

Most likely yard trash, I want to say, for certain. But yard trash and solid waste may be able to go at the same time. And when I mentioned the complexity of these solicitations, part of it is timing. When do the contracts, when will the contracts begin, if they are awarded? Because it ties into what are current practices?



Speaker 8 - 37:40

Right.



Speaker 10 - 37:40

On the collection side, we know that two members of the authority require separation of yard trash and bulk waste at the curb. There's going to be a period of transition to segregate that and manage that going forward, which is why? It's important that we include the elements of the global agreement in the disposal solicitation so that we. We have the flexibility to make that transition so that we're not pulling all levers at the same time. So, again, we're making good progress in the development of the solicitations. We agree that this needs to be a transparent and open and inviting process in terms of engagement with this body, the governing board, members of the public, the technical advisory committee, so that we. And with the industry.



Speaker 10 - 38:25

So we would like to move expeditiously towards the Industry Day, then follow up a meeting with TAC so that we can discuss the feedback that we've received and then move very quickly into issuing the solicitation. So we're confident in the progress that we've been making and we look forward to maintaining that.



Speaker 3 - 38:46

Okay. Any questions on that? None.



Speaker 7 - 38:53

Carol, I'm sorry.

 Speaker 9 - 38:55

Go ahead.

 Speaker 15 - 38:55

Melissa.

 Speaker 7 - 38:58

Yes.

 Speaker 13 - 38:59

Could you clarify something for me? You may have said it and I missed it. You mentioned that you wanted to stagger the solicitations. What order are you recommending?

 Speaker 10 - 39:10

We want to start with the recyclable materials in part because that is outside of the global agreement. And really we're making great progress in that front and we want to move that forward because we think that's going to work as a flywheel, if you will, for the other solicitations.

 Speaker 13 - 39:28

Okay. And then my. Thank you. And then my second question is in regards to the Industry Day, do you already have a targeted date in mind?



Speaker 10 - 39:35

No, we'll be again, working through. The executive director will be. I don't think we need wait until an executive committee or a governing board meeting to provide updates. What we'd like to do is through. Through the executive director, provide status updates via email so that you are aware of where we are, where we're going to next.



Speaker 13 - 39:55

Do you have a target month? Like when would you. When do you think that you may want to do the Industry Day?



Speaker 10 - 39:59

We would like to do it next month at the latest.



Speaker 13 - 40:01

November.



Speaker 5 - 40:02

Okay.



Speaker 10 - 40:02

That's our target.



Speaker 1 - 40:03

Thank you.



Speaker 7 - 40:09

Similar. So yard waste, mixed solid waste and recycling. Are those the three?



Speaker 10 - 40:14

Those are the three.



Speaker 7 - 40:15

Okay. And then members of the industry, I'm sure, are reaching out to all of us with concerns and questions, and I'll share a few that I have heard. One is in the solicitation, obviously it's more than just best price. We've talked a lot in this room about the things that are important and one being environmental impacts. And so the question is in your thought process so far, will there be a ranking or a request for environmental impact? Positive things about what's being proposed. I'm curious how what it's going to look like.



Speaker 10 - 41:01

Part of it simply ties into location. Where will material be either processed or disposed. We are not asking, we're not anticipating, asking proposers to sort of model what they believe the impacts will be. We're looking at qualifications of the company, their experience, as well as their environmental impact, their financial stability and ultimately cost. But we're looking at a sort of a balancing act. It is not like a bid where it is just low cost. We're looking at the total package in terms of delivering value to the authority.



Speaker 7 - 41:45

Okay. So I just want to make sure that as a proposer is responding, that the. There's. It's clear, which I'm sure it would because you guys are doing it. What's being weighted, all the import of different components of what you've just described, that'll all be expressed within the.



Speaker 3 - 42:05

Correct.



Speaker 4 - 42:05

Correct.



Speaker 7 - 42:06

And then the other thing is, and I guess this is on the idea of staggering them. I don't know if there would be a benefit to have someone come in. Would it be. Would it be a positive in the assessment to have someone come in and say we're going to be the quarterback for everything and then have other companies be either joint venture partners or subcontractors or something like that? Is there room for teams to be formed or are we looking at individual companies bidding on individual streams? And that's it?



Speaker 20 - 42:48

Yeah.



Speaker 10 - 42:48

So these solicitations are structured as service contracts. We're asking for a service to process material, to beneficially use it or ultimately to dispose of it. We don't want to get into means and methods. There will be safeguards in the contractual language that if it is a consortium, that there is a parent company guarantee so that there is a single source responsible at the end of the day. But given the sort of the landscape and the existing infrastructure, we can encourage firms to work together. But ultimately we do not want to dictate means and methods. We want to make sure that we are selecting the most qualified service provider for the given waste stream.

Speaker 7 - 43:36



Okay. Okay. And I know you're talking about giving updates, but will at some point will we see like a draft of the rfp?



Speaker 20 - 43:46

Yes.



Speaker 5 - 43:47

Yes.



Speaker 7 - 43:48

When do you think that'll be?



Speaker 10 - 43:50

It depends. We're still working on that schedule. So. And structure. So we're anticipating having a substantially complete recyclable material solicitation by next week. But as you can Imagine we've got to work it through legal as well as the administration. We want to get that feedback and then we want to engage with the industry, engage with tac, and then ultimately bring it to you. But all of these are, all of this is done in the sunshine. So at any point, if you want an update, I encourage you to reach out to the executive director and he will reach out to us and we'll provide you with whatever information you're seeking.



Speaker 7 - 44:28

Okay. Okay. Thank you.

Speaker 3 - 44:30



Daniel, what policy direction did you feel like you need? Let's start with one on recycling. I mean, because some of the things that I think we haven't voted on or discussed is geographical distribution. You know, because you've got recycling centers throughout the county, you know, from one in one end to the other. Does it make sense to divide it up? North, south, east, west?



Speaker 10 - 44:58

Yeah. So we're doing that and that's why the information that has been requested from each ILA member is so important, because we need to not just think about geography, but tonnage that will help us draw those boundaries. But we're absolutely looking to optimize by having zones for, certainly for recyclable materials.



Speaker 3 - 45:17

Okay. And. And I don't know if we have this information, but I, you know, I know many years ago we had made sure everybody in the county had a recycling bin. I don't know if that's still the case.



Speaker 10 - 45:29

It's not the case and it's fine. It's one of the things that we've been talking about on the, within the SCS team and with the executive director. You know, part of the justification or the reason for the convenience drop off centers is that currently not everybody has access to curbside.



Speaker 3 - 45:44

Yeah. I remember actually making a motion when on the, with the RB to spend \$20 million to buy all those bins. So it's not cheap. No, it's not cheap. Yeah. So we do so. So that becomes part of the equation as well. And who buy and does every city do that individually?



Speaker 10 - 46:06

Yeah, and that's the greatest challenge to get from where we are to where we want to be. There's going to be a lot of alignment activities and we're sensitive to that. So, you know, we've shared over the last few years. There is no magic wand or switch to flip. It's going to take time to sort of move into our desired future state. So I mentioned earlier there's two communities that currently require separation of yard trash and bulk waste.



Speaker 3 - 46:33

Right.



Speaker 10 - 46:34

Eventually we want to get to the point that all communities are doing that. But it's going to take time.



Speaker 3 - 46:39

And I'll just throw a curveball for a second is there are some places that are starting to think in terms of recycling, in terms of wet recycling and dry recycling, where the wet recycling is more the food waste, the organic part that allows for that source separation. The dry is what you. What I think we're commonly thinking of. And I don't know if we're ready for that.



Speaker 10 - 47:02

Well, I mean, on the. On the yard trash processing side, we're setting the intention that's where we want to get to.



Speaker 3 - 47:09

Okay.



Speaker 10 - 47:10

Right. So we're going to do it incrementally, but that's where we want to get to because, you know, based on the waste composition analysis that was done years ago, we know what. What portion of the waste stream is. Is food waste. So part of the education and outreach is minimizing the amount of food waste that's generated in the first place, finding alternative uses for food that is perhaps past its expiration date and then anything else beneficially use it. Create compost. That is a benefit.



Speaker 3 - 47:44

I don't think people thought it might in terms of wet dry, but that's kind of where it's at on that. So I'm glad. I'm glad that's part of it.



Speaker 4 - 47:51

Any.



Speaker 3 - 47:52

Any other questions on that with yard waste? So let's just go to yard waste for one second. Same question. Geographic considerations. Even though I. Even what I. In Hollywood has made me think twice about this part is I. I was thinking. I've been. I've thought that the landfill, the Proud Canyon landfill would be the natural place for most yard ways to go thinking. But. But it's. And everybody goes well is on the wayside of the county, you know. But I think Hollywood sends theirs to Penzerre.



Speaker 10 - 48:27

Yes.



Speaker 3 - 48:28

Oh, Tony's here. Okay. And then. And then my understanding is then that goes Penzer Island. I'm sorry, and then it goes further north after that. Is that right? Okay. So the actual distances that these things go are actually further than just across the county.



Speaker 7 - 48:45

That's my point.



Speaker 3 - 48:46

Yeah.



Speaker 7 - 48:47

In the equation, how are we going to wrap?



Speaker 3 - 48:49

Right. And that's those kind of things. Most of our yard place, I think is going out of the county. And I don't know. I don't know if that's for sure, but it seems like it. So the geographical. And I know you know, there's some very good recycling. Coastal is doing a great job with yard waste one side you know, maybe it can be done, I don't know. But that geographical distribution, again, is that what you're looking for?



Speaker 10 - 49:14

We are, but as I mentioned, the tonnage of yard trash that is source separated is relatively small.



Speaker 20 - 49:22

And.



Speaker 10 - 49:23

And it's largely east of 95.



Speaker 3 - 49:25

Oh, really?



Speaker 10 - 49:26

That's Fort Lauderdale and Hollywood.



Speaker 3 - 49:28

Okay.



Speaker 20 - 49:30

Yeah.



Speaker 10 - 49:30

So we're wrestling with these issues and I'm confident with the people that are looking at it. And ultimately it'll be presented for public digestion, whether it's the industry or community stakeholders.



Speaker 3 - 49:44

So RFPs allowing for that kind of flexibility?



Speaker 10 - 49:48

Well, again, we're incorporating all of the attributes of the global agreement, so that may be part of the strategy that we're still able to manage the yard trash and bulk while communities transition to separate collection. I mean, that's especially the specter of vote statewide referendum. There's a lot of focus on sort.



Speaker 15 - 50:11

Of.



Speaker 10 - 50:13

Daily operations of communities. It's probably not the ripest time to talk about changes in solid waste practices, which is why we want to do that over time so it can be analyzed and digested in a thoughtful way.



Speaker 3 - 50:30

Okay. And I bring these up because I don't think we've tackled some of this. I don't think we've gotten into the nitty gritty on for you to help with some of that policy direction. We're just now getting to that. And if you need to give us. You guys, not me, I won't be here. But if you need to give us those things that you need policy direction on that, I think that would be helpful.



Speaker 4 - 50:57

Yeah.



Speaker 3 - 50:58

Because we haven't got that from you. And as you're going through it, you know, oh, I need some more direction on this. So I think that would be. To give that to this group would be helpful.



Speaker 10 - 51:10

Yet again, we do meet regularly with the executive director on this. After this meeting, we're going to discuss just sort of a standing meeting of some of the protagonists, including the county, and then we're going to rely on the executive director to bring those matters before this body for policy direction.



Speaker 3 - 51:28

So I guess I'm saying that to you, Sam. Yeah. I think it's. I think this group will. It will be helpful to have this group help in that policy direction, you know. Yeah. Because we, yeah, we all, every city is coming out, coming at it from a different experience.



Speaker 10 - 51:46

And again, we want to also make sure that we're engaging with TAC so that we're.



Speaker 8 - 51:51

Right.



Speaker 10 - 51:51

Right. So it's really the boots on the ground people that have to deal with us day in and are providing their input.



Speaker 3 - 51:56

Okay. Denise, I'm sorry. Oh, sorry. Actually, I'm not looking. I know, I know. Yeah, that was then an afterthought. Josh.



Speaker 4 - 52:10

Thank you.



Speaker 8 - 52:11

So I wasn't here at what I'll call our pop up meeting. It was difficult to come. And I was listening to that meeting for the purposes of more concern and consideration for Alpha250. It kind of got me on my heels when I went and listened to the meeting and regarding the global agreement and some positions, you kind of took on that before this body actually really discussed it. Because there's never been a time that this body has. Has talked about the global agreement, a possible extension. The presentation I got after the fact wasn't in the initial backup. So you know, little on my heels about that. Whether that's good policy for your recommendation or candidly bad policy for your recommendation. Because it seems a little duplicative.



Speaker 8 - 52:49

It seems a little duplicative because we're talking about extending something which is kind of why this body was created, that goes directly to the policy decisions you want in terms of how you're going to phrase these proposals. So I think that's a, that's kind of like a little bit of, to me, like an elephant in the room of how we're going to address that. I'd like to put it on as an agenda item so everyone could be aware of the discussion points behind it. Especially more, because the PowerPoint that was given to all of you, there's pros and cons to some of that. I'm not trying to hash that out now. I don't think it's necessarily appropriate. I think we'll have a really good turnout in November just based on the election meeting and some of the other governing board meetings.



Speaker 8 - 53:26

I'd ask the pseudo chair to direct our executive director to actually put that on because there's real significant policy concerns with that to us advocating for an extension, the effect that could have on proposals this body sees fit the concept that it's like this backup safety net and does that diminish and discredit what we're kind of doing here? So I'm saying what I'm saying, Daniel, for the purposes of my colleagues in this room, for legitimate policy considerations now, I think it needs to be an item. I think it needs to be addressed as opposed to more of this shotgun approach. A little. Can I just ask. So I didn't get that three page presentation in the backup. Right. I Didn't see that until it was handed to me after this meeting because my alternate came to that meeting.



Speaker 8 - 54:13

So I got just two questions to you or you. I'm motioning for the record, either. Daniel, Sam, who made that on the

global agreement and whose decision was to present it? I just need to understand that first. So can you. Excuse me, could you answer that?



Speaker 10 - 54:29

Which three pager.



Speaker 8 - 54:30

The presentation that was on the global agreement two weeks ago.



Speaker 20 - 54:34

Okay.



Speaker 10 - 54:34

The one that the SCS team facilitated.



Speaker 8 - 54:37

I just want to know who made that. You made that.



Speaker 10 - 54:40

We created that presentation. So it was really.



Speaker 8 - 54:42

That's all I was trying to understand because I couldn't hear that from the minutes necessarily. And I heard. I know Matt. He's raising his hand. He proceed. Talked a little bit about it as well. I'm just trying to understand that. But we can get into it. Not today. It's not an agenda item when we got a lot to get through.



Speaker 19 - 54:55

Part of that was made by. By the county. Also the. The global agreement portion that was just like the two pages of Global agreement.



Speaker 8 - 55:02

Was from the county. Okay.



Speaker 3 - 55:04

So that's.



Speaker 8 - 55:04

I'm just trying to. To under.



Speaker 10 - 55:08

If I may, to clarify. There was a document that was prepared by the county and presented by the county within. Prior to the SES presentation. So all of the information about the global agreement was generated by and presented by the county.



Speaker 8 - 55:24

Okay. That was just. It was hard to hear and I apologize. I'm asking it in an open form. But for those millions listening and those trying to catch up and listen to meetings, it didn't come. I was trying to understand the motivation and where the information came from.



Speaker 3 - 55:36

Josh, can I help you out? One part on that part of it came from when Filthy Organics was bringing their unsolicited bid to the county. I was. I was saying we need to be looking at the global agreement in general on all of this before we go much further. And that's where. That's kind of the impetus for it. And I felt like this group needed to have a better understanding of what the global agreement was because it played into that.



Speaker 8 - 56:07

I'd agree with you. And as somebody that's very well versed in the global agreement, just because of other considerations with Coconut Creek and the counties, our previous disputes. I'm familiar with it. What I guess I'm requesting for consensus purposes on such a big policy issue, which has such a big effect on how we choose to move forward. I'm asking the executive director to put this on. Our next meeting is actually an agenda item for the purposes of discussion, so we could weigh in on what this body chooses to do. So that's my request. Please, sir. And that's all I have. I think geography matters, and that's the only point I was making to go back to it. I think geography is significant because what we're going to see on some of these proposals is you may see the best person.



Speaker 8 - 56:46

That best person may be in Orlando, and then there's going to be additional policy and environmental considerations that come from brokering a deal. So I think geography plays into it. I was, I was supporting the comment regarding geography for the purposes of policy direction. That's all I have. Thank you, Mr.



Speaker 4 - 57:00

Chair.



Speaker 3 - 57:00

Okay. And I would definitely support, while I'm here, the better further discussion on the global agreement, because

I think that has so many parameters to it and it is a bit of a house of cards. If you pull one out, other things fall, you know, by the wayside. And the safety nets that are incorporated into that are absolutely important. And, you know, if the SWA is taking on all that responsibility, they need to know, we need to know what that responsibility is.



Speaker 8 - 57:35

And, and that's my point, because some of those safety nets are emergency situations. Right. And then that becomes a different proposal that this board can do. So again, I, I'm going down a rabbit hole right now that I think we all need to be very well versed on, as opposed to Matt, if Matt did it, the three pager that I saw, because there's a lot of other unique prongs and parameters to it. So I would just suggest what I think is going to be the best practice for a policy body is to have it on it as an item with the appropriate backup given to all of us before. So that's what I think we're agreeing to maybe disagree down the road, but I don't have to worry about next meeting. So thank you.



Speaker 3 - 58:07

And I'll just say as an aside. Oh, go ahead.



Speaker 4 - 58:10

I just wanted to quickly chime in, based on staff text, the. That the county did not actually advocate for extending the agreement, that in any document were asked to provide a primer. We provided a primer, but we didn't.



Speaker 3 - 58:29

We.



Speaker 4 - 58:29

The county did not advocate on any position on that.



Speaker 6 - 58:34

Okay.



Speaker 10 - 58:35

All right.



Speaker 3 - 58:35

Anybody else? What else was there other. There's probably a lot of things you need for policy direction with regard to the global agreement. Some of that, an agenda item would probably be helpful on that. Is that right?



Speaker 20 - 58:49

Yes.



Speaker 3 - 58:49

Yeah.



Speaker 7 - 58:50

Yeah.



Speaker 3 - 58:50

Because I, I mean, I don't think there's so many aspects of that.

Speaker 4 - 58:56



Yeah.



Speaker 10 - 58:56

And we recognize some people are steeped in the global agreement. Others it is brand new and there's a lot to digest.



Speaker 3 - 59:04

Okay, and let me ask, I'm going to ask a pointed question here, Jamie. I know part of the Second Amendment said that we have to go out to rfp, right. Does it say when?



Speaker 9 - 59:18

Yes, it does. It says it's supposed to be, I think by October 1st, but it could be extended.



Speaker 3 - 59:26

Okay. I say, and I only say that because I, I fully understand the reason for the need to go an RFP for recycling, for yard waste. But you know, for the global agreement, you know, because it's so complicated, sometimes an extension might give this body more chance to be able to think about all the parameters of that. That's something just for you all to think about at the next meeting. But you're going to see how complicated it is. And, and I don't know how you break all that up. I mean, there's 12 different documents, there's 12 different things that each one's weighing on each other. I don't know how you have one or a fleet. I just don't, I don't know how you do it.



Speaker 10 - 01:00:16

Well, I will share that the county has provided a deeper dive. So what was presented two weeks ago was really high level. The contours of the global agreement. We have asked because the direction we got at that meeting was incorporate all of the elements, all the benefits would have affectionately been referred to as goodies in the global agreement in our solicitation. So nobody knows that those contracts or that body of documents better than the county. So we leaned on them to provide us with that so that we can incorporate it into the solicitation and the responsibilities. And the responsibilities.



Speaker 7 - 01:00:59

So, Daniel, thank you. I first want to just say thank you to Matt from the county attorney's office because I mean, it just sounds incredibly complicated. We asked him to give you a breakdown and I understand that he has. So he is kind of the keeper of this knowledge and has looked at all of those documents and how they interface with each other to the best of his ability. So what I think, Member Ridell, to your point, I would love for you to come back and with respect to what you've received from the county and the global agreement, identify which things you do need direction from us on. I mean some of them are just, you know, self evident, but others I think will result in things that will impact the responses from the proposer.



Speaker 7 - 01:01:52

So that Would, I think, be really helpful for you to go through what Matt is giving you and the county's giving you and then come back to us and say, you know, what do you want me to do about this or that? So I think it's a very good idea to have it on the agenda. And I also think you won't be here, but it's a great point to know that we've already decided to break these into three, and they're already pretty much sounds like they're not going all at the same time. So there is some flexibility. And I personally, you know, I don't think there's any intention to delay things unnecessarily, but there is kind of a safety net if we can't get it done properly in time. So that's something else I would like to understand also.



Speaker 7 - 01:02:36

What are the extensions within the global agreement and can they be shortened? Can it be, you know, one year, something like that?



Speaker 3 - 01:02:44

I don't know.



Speaker 7 - 01:02:45

I would like to understand that. Okay, thank you.



Speaker 3 - 01:02:49

And I think last, before we have that meeting, I think it'd be good to have both Natasha and Matt take a look at how this is prepared for this meeting so nothing gets missed. You know, I think they're the two that really know this back and forth, and their knowledge is invaluable on this.



Speaker 10 - 01:03:12

Agreed?



Speaker 3 - 01:03:13

Yeah. Okay. Anything else on this? All right, thank you very much, Daniel. The next one is extension of time for parties to enact flow control ordinances. Sam, you want to address that?



Speaker 9 - 01:03:27

I think I'll address.



Speaker 3 - 01:03:28

Okay.



Speaker 9 - 01:03:28

All right.



Speaker 3 - 01:03:29

Jamie? Yes.



Speaker 2 - 01:03:30

Thank you.



Speaker 9 - 01:03:31

Under the ILA, as originally envisioned, the RFPs were going to be done before the facilities amendment. So the ILA provides that all of the parties were supposed to enact a flow control ordinance that was going to be provided to each of the parties before the effective data facilities amendment. We obviously did not provide a form flow control ordinance because we ended up changing the way we did that. So what I'm asking for is I guess a recommendation from executive committee, then a vote from the governing board to continue not enforcing. Obviously, we're not going to call anyone in breach because they failed to enact a flow control ordinance that we didn't give them.



Speaker 5 - 01:04:15

Okay.



Speaker 9 - 01:04:16

So the idea would be we will hold off on giving the flow control ordinance form until probably after the RFPs are done and after the next opt out, right about that same time frame. And we'll give each party 60 days once from the time we give it to them to enact it. So there'll be enough time, maybe even 90 days because you need two readings. But for now we just need to clarify that we're not enforcing that until we provide it to the parties and then probably for like 90 days thereafter.



Speaker 3 - 01:04:47

Okay, so. So from the executive committee, you're asking the recommendation to go to the governing board?



Speaker 9 - 01:04:52

Yes. And.



Speaker 3 - 01:04:52

And I'll take a motion on that.



Speaker 8 - 01:04:54

I make the said motion to make the recommendation to the governing board for the parameters listed by attorney Cole.



Speaker 3 - 01:04:58

Do we have a second?



Speaker 1 - 01:04:59

Second.



Speaker 3 - 01:05:00

Second. All those in favor? Any opposed? Okay, so we'll bring that up. Is that already on the agenda? Okay. For the governing board. Very good. All right, next is Alpha250 update discussion of proposed resolution to Alpha250 cities to extend sale date and fourth amendment. This is just so everybody knows. This went to the county at the last meeting and at the request from Coconut Creek to this board, they. There was an ass request to defer it to get some kind of input on it. We deferred it. It is coming up on Tuesday and I think from the county's perspective there's a need for it to. It needs to be on the agenda because otherwise we're in breach. So I think the county is going to have to bring this up and have things start moving along.



Speaker 3 - 01:05:57

What is important to know though is while that's moving along and I think the time period I've heard and Matt, maybe you can help me on this is if this is like a probably eight or nine month process. Is that about right? Okay. Within those eight or nine months, there's nothing that can. That would stop this body or other cities from making other. Following another parallel path of if we wanted to keep the Alpha 250 among all those, all the cities that own it and the county together right now and look at it, if there's any, even if there's a theoretical use of that right now, it's one of the very few places in this county that allow for use of, you know, or some kind of uses of garbage. So I think there's. There's interest by the city of Pompano.



Speaker 3 - 01:06:50

There may be interest from Coconut Creek. Josh, I don't know if you want to speak to that. I guess you do your things up.



Speaker 8 - 01:06:57

So the only reason I put my thing up, Chairperson, is just maybe we just delay the item until we empanel the governing board. Only because the governing board, Jamie, correct me if I'm wrong, has to. If we're going to make a formal recommendation, we can maybe just kick the discussion to when we empanel the governing board. I only say now because we can debate it, but then it would still need to be.



Speaker 9 - 01:07:17

And we do currently have a quorum for the governing board.



Speaker 8 - 01:07:19

We do. We don't.



Speaker 9 - 01:07:20

We do. So long as no one leaves.



Speaker 8 - 01:07:22

So that's.



Speaker 3 - 01:07:23

We'll make this quick. We'll go as quick as we can to get.



Speaker 8 - 01:07:25

So I'd move to defer to the governing board for the discussion.



Speaker 3 - 01:07:28

Okay. Yes.



Speaker 4 - 01:07:31

Just FYI, the county has been told that we must do this at this meeting or we will in breach. And we're not going to be in breach. I have offered an amendment to the item that will be coming up that would say that in the event that the. I think it's 24 cities that are part of the agreement agree to let us continue it, that it would automatically come back to the county commission for additional discussion. Of course, we would like to exceed. But we're not going to be sued for breach. But I have offered that amendment that will be coming up that if the cities agree, we can extend it.



Speaker 3 - 01:08:13

Okay, so we're gonna. We're gonna defer this to the governing board. Is it consensus on that? Okay, we're gonna do that. I'm not saying anybody say no. Next one is the C and D Commercial Recycling Subcommittee ordinance updates. Henry.



Speaker 18 - 01:08:29

Thank you, Chair.



Speaker 11 - 01:08:31

We had a good meeting on earlier this week concerning mostly the legal process that has been going on with the county. I'll let legal take care of that in a couple of minutes. We have been talking with some of the MRF operators. We've been trying to get in contact with construction industry personnel. Had some problems going back and forth finding a meeting date. But we will continue to pursue that so we can get input from them to make sure that they have their input and it can be incorporated into the final process. So with that, we'll turn it over.



Speaker 10 - 01:09:08

To our legal counsel.



Speaker 9 - 01:09:11

Sure. We have been working with the county attorney's office. There's some very interesting and challenging legal issues involved with this and we don't want to come back until we have kind of agreed legally as to what the parameters are. So we're working with them. We have a meeting scheduled for next week. They've provided information to us, we provided some counter views and we're kind of working it out.



Speaker 3 - 01:09:32

Okay. Anybody else on this? Anybody from online on this? Denise is on that board, right? That's what. That's what I'm asking. Denise. Any concerns? Thoughts? Who else is on that board? Who else is on that board?



Speaker 15 - 01:09:57

Sorry Sorry, I'm just having a hard time getting the microphone up.



Speaker 7 - 01:10:02

No.



Speaker 15 - 01:10:02

No concerns or thoughts. I just stated at the meeting this week because I didn't want any more.



Speaker 13 - 01:10:08

Meetings of this to be called.



Speaker 15 - 01:10:11

We don't actually have a document to review. It's a way better time. So I appreciate working with us. Thank you.



Speaker 3 - 01:10:19

Okay. Denise, your mic's acting a little weird. You've come in from. Okay, I know. It's not a plan anymore. I'm sad about that. Who else was on. Who else was on there? Abby. Who else? Elise? Anybody else?



Speaker 6 - 01:10:42

There's no one with their hand raised.



Speaker 3 - 01:10:44

All right. From County. Do we have any thoughts on that or. All good. We've got meetings set up. All good. I'm looking at Matt. Okay. Oh, so. Okay. So we didn't need a calendar.



Speaker 9 - 01:11:04

Yeah. Lori's working. We're available those two dates also, so I don't think it's okay.



Speaker 3 - 01:11:09

Okay.



Speaker 7 - 01:11:09

Very good.



Speaker 3 - 01:11:10

All right. Education and Outreach Subcommittee. Melissa.



Speaker 13 - 01:11:14

Thank you, Vice Chair. We actually have a presentation. Sharing some status updates. Laurie.



Speaker 17 - 01:11:23

Good morning, Vice Chair. Members of the executive committee. Would you like me to do the presentation now or for governing board?



Speaker 3 - 01:11:30

We can. We can do it for the governing board.



Speaker 7 - 01:11:32

Yeah.



Speaker 17 - 01:11:32

That's okay. I have one housekeeping item for you. Just a point of order. We have a line item in our current budget that was approved for community sponsorships and events. And the 18th annual Southeast Florida Climate Leadership Summit is coming up on November 4th.



Speaker 7 - 01:11:49

4Th.



Speaker 17 - 01:11:50

And the subcommittee has recommended that the authority have a table and participate. And that is \$3,000. So we're just looking for. To deduct from our line item, which has \$50,000 in it.



Speaker 3 - 01:12:03

I think that's a great use of that.



Speaker 17 - 01:12:05

Yeah.

 Speaker 3 - 01:12:05

Because that's what she's on. The Southeast Florida Climate Change Compact between all four counties. This is the first time where waste is going to be focused on. It's been going on for almost 20 years. The waste has not been a part of it. And they've. Now they recognize that role in the entire climate change. So it's. In fact, there's a whole panel on it. I think I'm on it. But there's. So it's good. I think it's a good idea. So do you need. Do we need to vote on that?

 Speaker 17 - 01:12:39

We just need, I guess, an approval from the board to spend. It's actually 3600. So we can get an additional pass for somebody to also attend.

 Speaker 3 - 01:12:49

There's a motion.

 Speaker 13 - 01:12:50

Second.

 Speaker 3 - 01:12:51

There's a motion and a second. All those in favor? Any Opposed. We'll see you there.

 Speaker 17 - 01:12:56

Thank you.



Speaker 3 - 01:12:57

Okay, that passes. New and old business. Any anything or we can save that for.



Speaker 4 - 01:13:02

Could I make a 30 second announcement?



Speaker 3 - 01:13:05

Sure. Thanks.



Speaker 4 - 01:13:06

Well, we have so many elected officials here. I just want to point out South Florida Regional Planning Council is having a Blue Tech Summit on October 30th. I want to make sure I have the date correct. And this is. It's not a well known fact but South Florida has the largest concentration of university based marine research in the United States. And I would encourage any of the elected officials here to register. The cost is very expensive. It's free. Okay. And they're saying there is no free lunch. But actually if this there is a free lunch though I would encourage everybody here to register for the Blue Tech summit. We're all familiar with green tech but Blue tech is ocean based, marine based and there's a lot of important things so please register.



Speaker 3 - 01:14:00

A lot of resilience things happening. So it'd be good with that. I think I will adjourn this and go to the governor government governing board meeting. Motion to adjourn. Second all those favor. Okay, we're. Okay, we're adjourned. Do we want to take a minute or two? Okay. We will readjourn in five minutes. Okay. You know Commissioner,



Speaker 7 - 01:14:35

But anyway.

 Speaker 3 - 01:14:50

I think we're.

 Speaker 9 - 01:14:51

I think we're representing because we've been representing another.

 Speaker 2 - 01:14:58

We do that.

 Speaker 3 - 01:15:04

Yeah. There's a lot of.

 Speaker 4 - 01:15:05

Since we're on break, I'll talk a little more. It's Friday, October 30th from 8:30 to 3:30 at the where is at the Broward center for the Performing Arts. But you must register in advance and there's only a few seats left so if you want to register please do so. October 30. Everything there?

 Speaker 3 - 01:16:11

Yeah, looks good. Okay.

Speaker 4 - 01:16:19



I turned 68. Day after day after. When is election day? The third. Okay. The fourth I turned 68.



Speaker 9 - 01:16:30

This is everybody asked the one you're.



Speaker 4 - 01:16:33

Running for next we term women out November of 2028 on my 70th birthday. Never say never but. But I've been doing it for 40 years. Yeah.



Speaker 8 - 01:16:49

And there's nothing left for me to write.



Speaker 4 - 01:16:53

Yeah. I got two more years.



Speaker 3 - 01:16:56

Hi Melissa. You want me show you? Yeah.



Speaker 4 - 01:17:03

No, no, it's that separate one. You may use mine. Or if you would like. Here just send it to yourself.



Speaker 8 - 01:17:31

It.

 Speaker 3 - 01:20:33

Okay if it.

 Speaker 4 - 01:21:07

Yeah.

 Speaker 3 - 01:21:07

And we've seen it on the federal level. Oh yeah. I know. Yeah. Thank you. Whatever I want. I'm 72. I'll be retired. Okay, everybody.

 Speaker 4 - 01:21:24

If we.

 Speaker 3 - 01:21:24

If everybody can have a seat so we can get started, Everybody would have a seat. This doesn't sound very loud right now. Hello. Let's. All right. Have a seat. Let's go. I need a gallon.

 Speaker 1 - 01:21:52

I know.

 Speaker 3 - 01:21:59

Have a seat. I need a gamble. Nobody's listening. I know. All those nice things. It's gone. We're gone. You're done. Okay. Who are we missing? Carol? Melissa. Okay, we're going to go ahead and get started. We have a. We need to do the pledge again. I guess we do another meeting. Okay, Elise, if you could start with roll.

 Speaker 7 - 01:23:16

Chair Bryant.

 Speaker 6 - 01:23:19

Vice chair Furr. Member Sorensen.

 Speaker 4 - 01:23:24

Here.

 Speaker 6 - 01:23:28

Member Shuham. Member Sarah. Member Lewis. Member Horland.

 Speaker 15 - 01:23:37

Online. Present.

 Speaker 6 - 01:23:40

Member Dunn. Member Patterson. Member Meade.



Speaker 11 - 01:23:47

Here.



Speaker 6 - 01:23:48

Member Cagiano. Member Ridell.



Speaker 8 - 01:23:53

Here.



Speaker 6 - 01:23:54

Member Ernst.



Speaker 4 - 01:23:55

Here.



Speaker 6 - 01:23:56

Member Barjolin. Member Murphy. Salamone. Member Thomas. Member Curran. Member A.J. Ryan.



Speaker 8 - 01:24:12

Here.



Speaker 6 - 01:24:13

Member Everard. Online.



Speaker 1 - 01:24:16

Here.



Speaker 6 - 01:24:17

Thank you. Member Newton. Member Stafford.



Speaker 3 - 01:24:26

Online.



Speaker 6 - 01:24:27

Here. Thank you. Member Bright. Cruz?



Speaker 9 - 01:24:30

Here.



Speaker 6 - 01:24:31

Member Strauss. Member Morissette? Member Ravinés? Member Tomlinson. Are there any alternates for the governing board that would like to be recognized?

 Speaker 3 - 01:24:51

Commissioner Gill.

 Speaker 7 - 01:24:52

Oh, I'm sorry. Commissioner Geller. Thank you.

 Speaker 3 - 01:24:59

Any others? Do we have a quorum?

 Speaker 9 - 01:25:02

Yes, we have a quorum, but if anyone leaves, we will lose the quorum.

 Speaker 3 - 01:25:06

Okay.

 Speaker 9 - 01:25:06

So we just need to keep that in mind.

 Speaker 3 - 01:25:08

So because of that, I'm going to go. I'm going to do public comment a little bit later in this meeting so we can take these votes first. Okay. So I'm going to start with. Let's do the minutes. Do I have a motion for the. To accept the amendments? We have a motion. And a second. A second. All those in favor? Aye. Any opposed? That passes. Next is item 9, extension of time for parties to enact flow control ordinances. Attorney Cole?



Speaker 9 - 01:25:38

Yes. As I'd mentioned during the executive committee meeting, under the ILA, we initially had planned on having the RFPs before the facilities amendment. That changed. So originally were going to have the flow control ordinances provided to the cities and the county before the facilities. We did not do that. So obviously no one has approved the flow control ordinances. So the recommendation is to continue not enforcing that. I felt we should have governing board vote saying that we will hold off on the flow control ordinances until 90 days after we send the draft flow control ordinances out to the cities and to the county, which will be done approximately the same time we go to them with the approvals of the RFPs.



Speaker 3 - 01:26:27

Goodbye. Understand that. Any. Any concerns on it.



Speaker 2 - 01:26:34

Okay.



Speaker 5 - 01:26:34

I'M sorry.



Speaker 9 - 01:26:35

So what we will be doing is we'll be extending the time for the parties to enact flow control ordinances until after we have awarded the contracts. So that because we have an opt out at the end of next year after those, so they, it doesn't make sense to adopt a flow controller and then opt out. So we will provide it at that point. So we're basically just extending the time until we do the awards of those contracts.



Speaker 3 - 01:27:04

Okay, so we need a motion to that effect. Motion. And second, to extend the time for.



Speaker 9 - 01:27:12

Yes.



Speaker 3 - 01:27:13

Before the.



Speaker 9 - 01:27:14

I think Daniel wants to say.



Speaker 3 - 01:27:15

Daniel, thank you.



Speaker 10 - 01:27:17

I just want to amplify the importance of flow control because the feedback that we received from the industry a year ago was we are not interested in spending time responding to solicitations if there isn't a commitment of the waste. So it must be, we need to speak with one voice that the flow control will be enacted because that provides the security for the proposers. So ideally it would have been done already. I think it's reasonable to extend it. But the authority needs to speak clearly to the industry that they can be counted on to deliver their waste.



Speaker 3 - 01:27:59

So.



Speaker 9 - 01:28:06

We're going to draft it. But it, and it is required, and this is not optional. Everyone who is part of the SWA has, is required to adopt the flow control ordinance. This is just a question of, you know, the time that they're going to do that and the plan will be to do that at the same time that we do those award of the RFPs. So that we know, you know, if someone is not opting out, they have to pass the flow control ordinance.



Speaker 3 - 01:28:31

So when do you, I mean the RFPs are coming out late next year.



Speaker 9 - 01:28:36

So, so the same time that the, each of the cities and the county will have the ability to opt out. Either they're going to opt out or they're going to adopt the flow controller and so basically be done at the same time.



Speaker 3 - 01:28:46

Okay.



Speaker 5 - 01:28:46

Okay.



Speaker 19 - 01:28:48

Sam, Daniel, are you recommending that this be enacted prior to the RFPs being.

Speaker 10 - 01:28:58



Awarded or it's, I mean, let's have the conversation. I think that it is appropriate to adopt it sooner than later, preferably before the solicitations go out. And it may be legally you can structure it that if the contracts are not awarded.



Speaker 21 - 01:29:15

Right.



Speaker 10 - 01:29:16

So condition two or conditioned on some other action. But again we need to say it so that the industry hears it that we're not just, we're not going on a fishing expedition. We are serious about awarding contracts for the processing.



Speaker 3 - 01:29:33

So Sam, I think you're bringing up a good point. You're bringing up a good point. Why, I mean, why not do it now?



Speaker 9 - 01:29:41

We could, you know, the question is, will cities pass a flow control ordinance when they have the ability to opt out? You know, if you have a flow control ordinance, it's also, what's it going to say? You have to send the waste to a certain place, but we're not prepared to tell people where to send it yet. So theoretically, we could pass an ordinance that doesn't take effect until sometime in the future. We could do that. But whatever it is, we still need to extend the time because the way it's drafted now, everyone is, you know, not violating is in violation. So we need to vote to do that. If, if we want to set up a time frame that we will send this out, you know, in January, something like that. We could do that, give people until April to approve it.



Speaker 9 - 01:30:20

You know, whatever it is, we just need to do something so we can clarify it.

Speaker 3 - 01:30:23



Do you have a recommendation, Sam?



Speaker 19 - 01:30:27

My recommendation is going to be what SES would recommend for their RFPs. And, you know, of course, legal being involved in it, but really, whatever SES is recommended for the RFPs, but they think they feel would best.



Speaker 20 - 01:30:42

It's true. Right.



Speaker 10 - 01:30:43

Having the document, even if it is conditioned, is a lot stronger than saying trust us and wait.



Speaker 3 - 01:30:56

Your recommendation for a time frame.



Speaker 10 - 01:30:58

As soon as possible.



Speaker 3 - 01:30:59

As soon as possible.



Speaker 7 - 01:31:00

Okay, so we already extended the time. Right. Just now we made a motion and approved a motion to.



Speaker 9 - 01:31:08

That's a recommendation that this group has to.



Speaker 7 - 01:31:10

Oh, that was the. That was the executive committee. Okay, so I'll make that motion for the governing board as well, with the understanding that we're going to get a draft of the ordinance by January.



Speaker 3 - 01:31:24

Is that soon enough?



Speaker 7 - 01:31:25

Is that soon enough?



Speaker 10 - 01:31:27

We'd like to be on the street before January with at least the recyclable materials. But again, a lot of this is what is being signaled to the industry and the degree of seriousness, and I think that's being demonstrated.



Speaker 8 - 01:31:43

Carol, prior to making your motion, could I just have a discussion point for said motion? Jamie subtly said we can do something that has a future start date with delineated locations per the recommendation. There's a way that the commitment could be done without specifics. So if you're making a motion, I think if you, if we're able to obviously extend it for the purposes of direction within a month to have some sort of draft that can go to the cities, that's future enacting with flexible language.



Speaker 3 - 01:32:14

Oh, go ahead.



Speaker 8 - 01:32:15

Okay. I make the motion to extend that on the November 20th meeting that we have a. We have a flow control ordinance that has a future in action, a future date for the purposes to be enacted with. To be determined flow control by the Solid Waste Authority.



Speaker 9 - 01:32:34

We can certainly try to do that. This is a pretty important ordinance, and.



Speaker 8 - 01:32:38

I happen to agree. But we're hearing something very different from Daniel.



Speaker 9 - 01:32:41

But to rush it to make the November 20th agenda is very short time frame. But beyond that, I mean, the cities are going to have to be given some period of time to approve it. So it is not going to be.



Speaker 10 - 01:32:55

Approved before January, February, March, or shouldn't be as well.



Speaker 4 - 01:33:00

Right.



Speaker 9 - 01:33:01

You know, and because each city is going to have two readings. So I would say more realistically to try to get it to the cities, you know, at the beginning of January and give them until, you know, sometime in April to approve it is probably more realistic.



Speaker 8 - 01:33:13

I wasn't suggesting getting it to the cities. I was suggesting what I think shows the industry the significance is this board passing something, distributing it. That happens. I think that's the signal to industry that all is well on the western front.



Speaker 5 - 01:33:28

Yeah.



Speaker 8 - 01:33:28

Not the necessary of making the cities approve it in January, I still think. But this board trickling down something that's. That would be the suggestion.



Speaker 9 - 01:33:37

I mean, I think December meeting would be more realistic if we could do that. I think that would be fun.



Speaker 7 - 01:33:43

Vice chair, also member Everard has her hand up online.



Speaker 3 - 01:33:49

You're recognized.



Speaker 1 - 01:33:50

Okay.



Speaker 15 - 01:33:51

Okay.



Speaker 3 - 01:33:52

Thank you so much. I want to know before we vote on the flow control ordinance,.



Speaker 1 - 01:34:01

Can you.



Speaker 13 - 01:34:01

Elaborate more on the content of what is all about, so that way I can have a better understanding.



Speaker 3 - 01:34:10

Jamie, you want to. Sure.



Speaker 9 - 01:34:11

Basically what the ordinance is going to say, and it's going to be very similar to the ordinance that all the cities passed to a part of the Resource Recovery board, you know, 20 years ago. It's basically going to say that all your solid waste is required to go to the facility designated in the plan as directed by the Solid Waste Authority, except if it's going out of state, because we will have to have that exception for interstate commerce. That's pretty much what it's going to say. It will also, I guess we'll put in a provision says until the Solid Waste Authority advises the city as to where any particular waste needs to go, it can go wherever it wants. So basically won't take effect until the Solid Waste Authority directs the city and advises the city of where it needs to go.



Speaker 9 - 01:34:58

And they'll have to obviously be some time period even before that to give notice to the city of a change.



Speaker 3 - 01:35:06

Okay, does that help?



Speaker 13 - 01:35:09

Yes.



Speaker 1 - 01:35:09

Thank you.



Speaker 3 - 01:35:10

Okay, Jamie, this is, this would give you a month if it was December to do it. I mean, if it was. If it was November, because I mean, I'm hearing from Daniel that this needs to be done sooner than later.



Speaker 9 - 01:35:24

I will be out of town for most of that time. I'm going to Tallahassee for a hearing SB 180 and then I'm going to.



Speaker 5 - 01:35:31

Be out of town.



Speaker 9 - 01:35:32

So I think realistically December meeting makes more sense. And also you're going to want to have. We're going to talk about the elections. You're going to want to have your new people there for the meeting as well.



Speaker 7 - 01:35:45

Okay.



Speaker 3 - 01:35:46

All right.



Speaker 7 - 01:35:47

Are you okay with December?

Speaker 8 - 01:35:49



Yeah, yeah.



Speaker 7 - 01:35:50

Do you need a second?



Speaker 3 - 01:35:52

Yes, we need a second.



Speaker 7 - 01:35:53

I second that.



Speaker 3 - 01:35:54

Okay, so we have a motion on the floor to have a draft brought back to this body in December and then we'll look at it from there. Okay. Any discussion? Yeah, I may be getting ahead of.



Speaker 8 - 01:36:08

Myself, but what is the implication of.



Speaker 3 - 01:36:12

Not meeting the flow control?



Speaker 10 - 01:36:15

It's a requirement of the interlocal agreement that all of your communities signed on to. But the implication is that it means that the material is not under the control of the authority. So collection remains the responsibility of each ILA member. But in terms of processing and disposal, that is the responsibility of the authority. And that control comes through the legislation. The ordinance for flow control.



Speaker 3 - 01:36:42

I'm talking about more not beating the numbers, not being one.



Speaker 8 - 01:36:46

Meeting the numbers of what we're.



Speaker 9 - 01:36:49

Promising them for flow.



Speaker 10 - 01:36:52

It really is. It's a matter of economics as we've been discussing over the past few years, the more material to be processed or disposed, there's an. There's economies of scale.



Speaker 9 - 01:37:04

But is there a consequence of not meeting those numbers?



Speaker 10 - 01:37:08

We are committing all of the waste under the authorities control. There's not a put or pay commitment that we're anticipating. Rather it is a pledging of all of the waste. And the mechanism to achieve that is the flow control ordinance. But great question.



Speaker 3 - 01:37:23

And it's needed because the county doesn't own these facilities. Some of them. If the county own the facilities, I believe then there would. We wouldn't need to have flow control figured out. Right.



Speaker 10 - 01:37:37

I'm going to defer to general counsel on that one.



Speaker 3 - 01:37:39

But because we don't own a number of the facilities, we now we do own the landfill. So that's something to be. You know, I say that to my fellow commissioners and commissioner to be that we do own the landfill. So if we, if that became where we sent our yard waste, there's almost automatic flow control that we could enact. Just something to keep in mind. But we don't own any of the recycling. We don't own the waste energy we plant. We own the land underneath it. We don't own that. So that's why you have to have flow control. Otherwise it goes anywhere it wants. You know, it can go anywhere it.



Speaker 10 - 01:38:19

Wants to go in part. And your landfill could be a receiving facility, but unlikely to be a proposer.



Speaker 3 - 01:38:25

Right. Okay, so we have a motion and a second on the floor. All those in favor? Okay. Any opposed? Okay, that passes. Last one is, has last voting item has to do with the Alpha 250 update. Jamie, can you bring that up?



Speaker 9 - 01:38:44

Well, what was discussed at the last meeting? There was a settlement of a lawsuit many years ago. There was a settlement of a lawsuit many years ago. Basically under the settlement, it was at the end of the Resource Recovery Board. Resource Recovery board had assets and under the agreement they were to be equitably distributed. One of those assets was the Alpha 250 property. Under the settlement, certain cash was distributed to all the cities and the Alpha 250 was to be sold within 60 days the process was to begin and once it was sold, those proceeds were to be distributed to the cities pro rata based on their 2012 tonnage.



Speaker 9 - 01:39:30

Within that 60 day period, I believe it was you, Commissioner fur came to me and to various other city officials and suggested that we put that off and try to create what ultimately became this swa. In order to put that off, there was a amendment to the settlement agreement and the amendment provided for a one year extension that could be extended three more times for one year each by agreement of at the time five mayors. And those three extensions happened. At the end of those four years, this process to create the SW8 continued. And there was a second amendment that did the same thing. It extended for one year with the ability for three more years by agreement of the mayors. That happened each time these amendments happened. All the cities and the county all had to agree.



Speaker 9 - 01:40:25

There was then a third amendment did the same thing. And October 11th was the expiration of the last of the three extensions. So as of right now, the county is obligated to continue the process or begin the process. You're always supposed to have done it before October 11th, but you need to start the Process to sell. In order to not do that, there needs to be a fourth Amendment. That fourth Amendment could be the same. It could have a one year extension and it could allow the mayors to extend it three more times. That did not. That process to ask for a fourth Amendment never happened this time because in the master plan, it basically concluded that the Alpha 250 process property was not really necessary or appropriate for any of the uses that they were talking about.



Speaker 9 - 01:41:13

So where we stand right now is, as commissioner, Senator Geller had said, staff has accurately told you that you're in breach of the contract if you don't start that process. Under that process, you should know that the draft RFP has to be provided to the five mayors and they review that RFP and they can put input. Then the RFP happens and then the price goes to those five mayors. And if they think the price is too low, the process starts anew. If the price is acceptable, the county does have the right to purchase it for the net proceed price at that time. So at this point, unless someone takes the initiative to get a fourth amendment and that initiative could be started by one of the members, like one of the cities could do it. The county could do it.



Speaker 9 - 01:42:04

You could, theoretically, at the county commission meeting next week, you could start the process and at the same time pass the fourth Amendment and urge others, all the cities to pass it, and then the cities can do it. So if you wanted to take the lead or one of the cities could take leave, but unless something happens, the county is required to sell it and distribute the proceeds. So that's where we stand right now. The SWA doesn't really have a role in this, although the SWA membership is very similar to the membership that benefits and would be sharing the money with some exceptions. You know, Miramar and Deerfield beach are not part of this group and they would have to agree to the fourth Amendment as well. So that's basically the background.



Speaker 3 - 01:42:50

Okay. Yeah. That's when we thought we would be able to put all this together in one year. Yeah, we didn't think we'd need so many different amendments. So, Josh, you want to bring anything? You had some thoughts on that?



Speaker 8 - 01:43:06

Yeah, just briefly. So the answer is it's not included, obviously, in solid waste master plan ultimately, because our edicts changed. Right. Like our concepts changed. We're not building and buying and owning. We're more contractual. So that's why I think this body needs to make a recommendation to the county whether they follow it or not. Obviously, I'm happy to take the lead trying to get an extension. But I don't think getting an extension necessarily puts us in a different place two, three years from now. In regarding. I don't think the SWA is going to be in a position to buy it and maintain it. Now, can that. Well, can the SWA get into a contract with the county for maintenance? If the county purchase it, yes, we could. There's an option there. This land is rare. And whether Pompano wants it.



Speaker 8 - 01:43:54

Pompano is a deadlock commission, as is right for anyone that watches the news. So are they even going to have consensus in Pompano to purchase something for 8 to 10 million dollars? Probably not. It's an expenditure with Amendment 3 hanging over all of our heads. I would love to see this body and I appreciate Senator Geller's potential amendment he's bringing on Monday. It's appropriate and is sound, depending on how we. We go move down the pipe. I would love to see this body have consensus to recommend whether the executive director or the chair sends a letter to recommend that the county purchase the property with the understanding maybe the Solid Waste Authority maintains it until it gets used, figures out a future use for it. There is a future use for this piece of property in the realm of.



Speaker 8 - 01:44:40

Of solid waste in Broward County. I don't think anyone in this room. I think. Daniel, can you dispute that? He's getting up. I was just hoping for like a head shake. But you can. You can say yes if you want. You fair statement I made. Okay. Point I'm trying to make is while we don't have the funds to buy it, certain municipalities may not have

the funds to buy it. Pompano could buy and do nothing with it. But I think Broward county would be the best option to, for this board, whether they take it or not, to make a recommendation from the Solid Waste Authority to say, hey, we recommend the county purchases it. And if the county does purchase it, we want to be involved in whether it's the future of maintenance. Because I believe it cost the county, what, \$150,000. Yeah.



Speaker 8 - 01:45:20

I don't know the number. Yeah. For maintenance, for just basic property, maintenance of this property, it would be a fool's errand with the amount of time we've all put into this to just let this go to whether it's industry, whether it's an affordable housing developer with whoever purchase it and tries to do something with it.



Speaker 1 - 01:45:35

So.



Speaker 8 - 01:45:37

And that was discussed, one of your colleagues at the County Commission said that, hey, can we make it affordable housing like that? Was discussed. So I think a letter to the county commission urging the county to purchase it with the understanding I'm happy to take it on the chin and say we're going to I'll spearhead the effort to get everyone signed on. I'm happy to do that, to be that leader for that. But I don't think it puts us in a different place a year or two or three down the road because this body is never going to be in a position to purchase it. We're never going to be able to. And that's not what we all agreed is where we're going.



Speaker 8 - 01:46:09

But I think we can all agree it's a necessary element to that a forward thinking county commission should very well see that. Miss you M so you know Senator Geller so I say that with the hope there'll be consensus and a vote from this board to put a recommendation to the county that they purchase the Alpha 250 with the first rider refusal.



Speaker 4 - 01:46:32

Senator Gillen Commissioner Riddell the I don't know that the county is going to buy a white elephant, something that, you know here, spend millions and millions of dollars to buy piece of property that you have no idea what you're going to do with or if you're going to do anything with it. I think perhaps a better course of action would be to try and get a one year, one more one year extension and then come to the county with some plan, say, all right, county, we need you to buy the Alpha 260 50, 250 Alpha 250 so that we can do A, B and C with it.



Speaker 4 - 01:47:17

And I think the county would be a lot more receptive to that if we knew what were buying at for as opposed to just buy it, spend \$10 million or whatever the number is, pay to maintain it, or maybe the SWA can pay to maintain it without knowing that we would ever have a use for it. So I think a better course would be, as I said, try and get one year extension, come back six months, eight months, a year and say this is what we envision it being used for. And I think the county would be receptive to for that.



Speaker 7 - 01:47:55

CAROL I like that idea because it also allows folks who are looking at the RFP to incorporate into their thinking how they might utilize that property as either a P3 or through the Solid Waste Authority or some other way. So I think, Daniel, timing wise, you know, that's something to really consider. Is a year is a year sufficient? Probably, if it's going to be Incorporated into proposals. Correct.



Speaker 10 - 01:48:31

Well, private sector is welcome to do what they want. Again, we're structuring the solicitations as services agreements and we do not want to dictate the means and methods. But it is a site that does have potential solid waste uses. And I know we spoke about that two weeks ago. In terms of how we approached it when we represented our findings in the master plan, it really looked at the sort of 20 years out, what will the needs be, recognizing that not all solid waste related uses are currently allowed under Pompano Beach's zoning code. So they will always have some authority just because the land is within their jurisdictional boundaries.



Speaker 7 - 01:49:18

Okay, thanks.



Speaker 4 - 01:49:18

So, and Commissioner, the. If we did what our esteemed legal counsel Mr. Cole suggested, which is one year extension with the option for an additional one or two or three year extensions, that way we would know. I'm just saying I think the county be receptive if we know what it will be used for, but not just to buy it for the purposes of buying.



Speaker 8 - 01:49:44

Senator. And that was the reason also for my reference point of even if the county does choose to make the decision, understanding obviously you don't want to buy the white elephant. I get it. The secondary consideration is this board has the ability of kind of, I don't want to say chipping in, but having something to do with maintenance, which I know was a concern that was brought up by your staff on that item, the continued maintenance of it. So I only say that to kind of have some sort of middle ground on what I believe is an asset that will need to be used eventually. And I think it'd be a shame to lose it. But I could say the same about a waste energy facility in North Broward, but you guys didn't listen to that either. So I'll leave it at that.



Speaker 3 - 01:50:24

I agree. I know you did one last dig. You got it. You got it in. I do think it's necessary to hold onto this. I, I think, I mean, I thought that from in 2015. My, my reasoning has not changed. I don't know. I don't think one year is enough. I'll be honest. I mean, I, I think. And is that part of your amendment to the county?



Speaker 4 - 01:50:47

My proposed amendment is just saying that if the cities agree that we can postpone it without being in breach, that it automatically comes back to the board for another vote because the only reason we're acting in haste is to avoid being in breach of the contract. So I wanted to be sympathetic to the Coconut Creek and Pompano's position without being in breach. So it's an automatic reverter if we're able to get an extension.



Speaker 3 - 01:51:18

Jamie, you had mentioned one thing in there, that the county could offer an amendment now to extend it.



Speaker 4 - 01:51:26

Right.



Speaker 3 - 01:51:26

Can you, can you elaborate?



Speaker 9 - 01:51:28

Sure. In order for it to be extended again, there's a fourth Amendment and I think the city attorney for Coconut Creek prepared it. I think there actually is one. It's the same as the first, second and Third Amendment. It has a one year extension and then the six mayors can. And the county administrator can extend it up to three more one years. Someone has attacked first. You know, I think it probably makes more sense for the county to approve that. If you went ahead and approved the fourth Amendment and presented it to all the cities, you know, that would be one way to get it moving. If you don't do it that way and you just say if all the cities do it, then the county will.



Speaker 4 - 01:52:07

Do it, I would be happy to do an additional. Propose an additional amendment for our Tuesday commission meeting to do something like that. If it's already drafted, we're willing to work with solid waste, won't work with the cities. We're not willing to be in breach of the contract and sued for many millions in damages.



Speaker 8 - 01:52:28

Is Matt still here?



Speaker 3 - 01:52:29

Matt's here. I was just going to ask him.



Speaker 8 - 01:52:31

Matt, was that transmitted to you yet? Do you remember to Terrell send that to you? Yeah.



Speaker 5 - 01:52:38

Okay.

Speaker 8 - 01:52:38



I wanted to make sure you have hands on it.



Speaker 18 - 01:52:40

Have we. Mr.



Speaker 14 - 01:52:41

Chair, have we gotten feedback from Pompano about their perspective on this or at.



Speaker 3 - 01:52:46

The, at the county commission meeting? Commissioner Fisher.



Speaker 2 - 01:52:50

Okay.



Speaker 3 - 01:52:50

That Pompano is interested in buying it.



Speaker 14 - 01:52:53

Pompano was interested in buying it.



Speaker 3 - 01:52:55

Right. That's all. I, I didn't hear what the use was for.



Speaker 4 - 01:52:59

Okay.



Speaker 3 - 01:53:00

But there was this.



Speaker 2 - 01:53:00

He.



Speaker 3 - 01:53:01

He had mentioned that.



Speaker 14 - 01:53:02

Okay.



Speaker 3 - 01:53:02

Okay.

 Speaker 14 - 01:53:03

And if we extended it a year, that. It sounds like that doesn't preclude that possibility in the future.

 Speaker 10 - 01:53:09

If they wanted to buy it.

 Speaker 3 - 01:53:10

Correct.

 Speaker 2 - 01:53:10

Okay, great.

 Speaker 14 - 01:53:11

Thank you.

 Speaker 3 - 01:53:12

Matt. Anything we. Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead, Go ahead. Keep asking.

 Speaker 6 - 01:53:25

Microphones, please.



Speaker 8 - 01:53:25

I apologize. Okay, so your legal had it, which I thought they did. I just wanted that confirmed today because then the county could act on it on the amendment for Monday.



Speaker 3 - 01:53:35

Okay, so is there, is there anybody against that idea that the county brings us up on Tuesday with that amendment?



Speaker 8 - 01:53:42

Well, I guess here's, I guess here would be the motion. The motion would be to support a extension of the alpha 250 resolution to delay the Sale. While I. You know, that would be the motion. Just look at the Solid Waste Authority to the motion. I'm trying to think in my head so it's clear. I move to advise the county the Solid Waste Authority is supporting the extension of the sale date and additional and extension amendment does.



Speaker 3 - 01:54:11

Would that include going out to the cities for approval of that as well?



Speaker 8 - 01:54:16

Yes, and as I said previously, I'm happy to take the lead on that.



Speaker 9 - 01:54:19

It has to be approved by every city. If one city, every RV, five or 24.



Speaker 8 - 01:54:26

24.



Speaker 9 - 01:54:26

24.



Speaker 4 - 01:54:28

Okay.



Speaker 9 - 01:54:28

No, all 24 have. Well, there's. To correct that. I believe there's 21 cities that are part of the settlement agreement. I believe there's four other cities that are not part of settlement agreement but have a separate agreement with the county. I think all 25 cities would have to approve it. Mr. Mayor.



Speaker 3 - 01:54:45

Yeah.



Speaker 4 - 01:54:45

Mr.



Speaker 3 - 01:54:45

Chair.

Speaker 4 - 01:54:46



Sorry. I've just spoken with council and again, we need to review the ordinance. That or the proposed ordinance that was drafted by Pompano, but Coconut Creek drifted. But assuming that they're okay with it and it just has the language for an extension. I spoke with my staff. I'll be happy to offer that as an amendment to the bill saying we are proceeding, we are moving ahead, we are not in breach, but that we would adopt something also saying if the cities agree to an extension, the county is agreeing to the extension. But again, that would only be conditioned because we will not be in breach. We. That will only be conditioned on Commissioner Ridell working his magic and getting all 25 cities to agree.



Speaker 3 - 01:55:42

Another dog and pony show. Okay, so that will be a show.



Speaker 8 - 01:55:47

Just a lot of phone calls.



Speaker 3 - 01:55:48

Okay. Okay. So we have a motion on four from Commissioner Ridell. And we have second.



Speaker 14 - 01:55:53

Second.



Speaker 3 - 01:55:54

Okay, we have second. All those in favor?



Speaker 1 - 01:55:56

Aye.



Speaker 3 - 01:55:56

Any opposed? All right, very good. So that'll commission. Senator Geller, you're going to do that amendment with Matt for.



Speaker 4 - 01:56:05

Yes, sir. I've already instructed. Very good staff and we'll follow up after this meeting.



Speaker 3 - 01:56:10

Okay. All right. We. We've. We've covered all the action. I'm sorry. Oh, okay. I'm gonna go to the November vacancy vote. Do you want to speak to that?



Speaker 9 - 01:56:26

Yes, as it. We have a couple vacancies on the executive committee and the plan is at the November meeting to have the large cities meet and fill the vacancies. And I think the small cities have to meet and fill a vacancy. I know there was request by Hollywood to not do that in November and to do that in December instead. The consensus last Time was to do it in November. That's why I felt it was necessary to bring it up. If we're going to wait till December, we need to do it. And I guess Commissioner Shoham.



Speaker 7 - 01:57:02

Thank you, Council. So the Hollywood City Commission, though The election is November 3, the new commission in Hollywood, which is a large city, is not sworn in until November 30th. Then they will have their first commission meeting with thereafter December 9th. So I believe Hollywood at the December 9th meeting could appoint someone for the governing board. Correct. And then that worked out. That would work out nicely for the December 18th meeting for there to be a vote to sit the new executive board. So I just think that, you know, it's just an odd timing and my apologies for not catching that. I don't know why Hollywood is not having meetings between the 4th and the 30th, but they're not. So I would like to make a motion to delay the vote to fill the vacancies on the executive board until December 18th.



Speaker 14 - 01:58:02

I'm fine with that and I'd second it.



Speaker 3 - 01:58:04

Go ahead. Okay. We have a motion on site. Any discussion? Melissa?



Speaker 13 - 01:58:09

Yeah, I just wanted to point out that the November meeting scheduled for November 20th is also the same time that the National League of Cities conference is. So we may not have a quorum at that meeting anyway.



Speaker 8 - 01:58:22

Yeah, I'm not going to. I'm not going to. I'm just personally, I'm not going to be in the country. I think, you know, I'm going to be out of the country for the December 18th meeting. I think having a meeting that close to the holidays is testy to begin with in terms of what people's commitments are, you know, regrettably. I hear you, Carol. I think we've delayed. Delayed this. I'd like to be present for the discussion. I think I've been a pretty present member. I'm not going to. I'm not going to support moving it. I hear what you're saying, only unless we have the ability to candidly doing it in January. But I think that presents an issue as well. So I would.



Speaker 8 - 01:58:50

I would suggest against doing it in December due to how close it is in the holidays and I would suggest doing it in January. But this is something I'd like to be a part of. But if I can't. Understood.



Speaker 14 - 01:59:00

Could we move the meeting to December 11?

Speaker 3 - 01:59:04



Can we. Discussion on this? Steve?



Speaker 20 - 01:59:12

Yeah.



Speaker 12 - 01:59:12

Just a side question. So when we get into the November and December meetings, if I understand right, we're going to be. How does that affect our Quorum, is it based on the number of members that are left or based on the original members that are still unfilled.



Speaker 9 - 01:59:33

For the governing board? Hollywood has an alternate, so their alternate could come. So. But the quorum is what the quorum is as far as the executive committee, you still need six voting members, no matter what. The populations change a little bit if people aren't here. So we will have, you know, in the November meeting, the Hollywood seat will be vacant on the executive committee, and there's no alternate large member. So at that November meeting, we are not going to have. You know, we're gonna. It's gonna be hard to get a quorum, but it's possible.



Speaker 3 - 02:00:12

If people come, we'll be okay.



Speaker 9 - 02:00:14

But if several people miss these meetings.



Speaker 7 - 02:00:16

And I. Yeah, January 6th.

 Speaker 12 - 02:00:21

Well, I'm just concerned that as longer this goes on, it's going to have more meetings where it's going to be difficult to get a quorum. That was my concern.

 Speaker 9 - 02:00:29

It's going to be hard to get quorums in November and December, no matter. No matter what. So,.

 Speaker 3 - 02:00:37

Melissa, look at Melissa first.

 Speaker 10 - 02:00:43

Yeah.

 Speaker 1 - 02:00:43

There was a question for December 11th. No one addressed that. How would December 11th work? We would have to check to see.

 Speaker 3 - 02:00:53

If the room's available. Okay. Is there any way to find out? Real quick.

Speaker 9 - 02:00:58



Josh, are you in town?



Speaker 14 - 02:01:04

It's a Friday.



Speaker 8 - 02:01:05

I leave the next day.



Speaker 14 - 02:01:12

If the room is an issue, we're always happy to host at the city of Fort Lauderdale if there's ever a need. So just want to share that. Thank you.



Speaker 3 - 02:01:21

It may be free, but we'll have to confirm it.



Speaker 7 - 02:01:23

But then we could make alternate arrangements. I know to the extent we can do it. Lisa. I am. Then my motion to make the vote for the new executive board members on December 11th.



Speaker 8 - 02:01:45

Governing meeting to the 11th as a.



Speaker 7 - 02:01:49

Whole, subject to that.



Speaker 8 - 02:01:50

Is the room available?



Speaker 3 - 02:01:51

Even if the room is not available, we could find another room. Okay, that's.



Speaker 8 - 02:01:54

I second the motion.



Speaker 3 - 02:01:55

We'll find somewhere. So it's here.



Speaker 2 - 02:01:57

This.



Speaker 9 - 02:01:57

This motion is to move December 18th meeting to December 11th.



Speaker 3 - 02:02:02

Correct.



Speaker 9 - 02:02:03

And at that meeting, do the election for the vacancies on executive committee.



Speaker 3 - 02:02:07

Everybody okay with that?



Speaker 4 - 02:02:08

Correct.



Speaker 3 - 02:02:11

Executive.



Speaker 15 - 02:02:12

And I'm not available on the 11th of December, and I'm a large city. So my question is going to be to Daniel. When we're trying to get quorums for November and December, is there. When we're looking at the RFPs, are we going to have something to vote on November and December? I don't want to kick the elections down the road again. But if we have to move to January to ensure quorum.

Speaker 3 - 02:02:40



Danielle, the question is, would we have anything to vote on with regard to the RFPs?



Speaker 10 - 02:02:47

Yes. I mean, it's ultimately this body that.



Speaker 3 - 02:02:51

That is in December. In December. So we're going to need quorum no matter.



Speaker 10 - 02:02:56

What I would like to say is there's still a lot of decision points, so let's not necessarily reach that. That conclusion now. But we will brief you through the. The executive director exactly where we stand with each solicitation. But it is our intention to at least get through the recycling procurement package out as. As soon as possible, perhaps before the end of the year.



Speaker 3 - 02:03:20

Okay, but what I'm hearing is we need quorum to make some of these things happen. RFPs as well as elections.



Speaker 7 - 02:03:35

I'm just saying you're more likely to have a quorum December 11th than December 18th, right?

Speaker 3 - 02:03:45



Yeah. Let's just. Just go around. Who can. Who can make the December 11th? Anybody who cannot make it? Denise. And you're not.



Speaker 7 - 02:03:56

I can't make it.



Speaker 3 - 02:03:59

December 18th. Who cannot. Who can. Who can make it? Who cannot make it? Who. Who cannot make December 18th? That's a lot. All right, so we need to. We probably need to move this to. Okay. Okay.



Speaker 4 - 02:04:21

Is.



Speaker 14 - 02:04:22

Is having this both. Is having the election four weeks. What is it? One, two, three, four weeks later in January. Is that just too big of a. Of a gap challenge for us?



Speaker 3 - 02:04:38

Well, you're not going to have a lot. A lot of seats are going to be open.



Speaker 2 - 02:04:42

Right.



Speaker 3 - 02:04:42

So these are big votes that need to be taken on or piece that.



Speaker 14 - 02:04:46

Has to be taken December 18th or 11th.



Speaker 3 - 02:04:49

Yeah.



Speaker 14 - 02:04:49

So wait until January is not option. It's fine if it's not. I just want to get clarity.



Speaker 3 - 02:04:53

Yeah. I'm just thinking we should be trying to get a quorum in.



Speaker 4 - 02:04:56

Right.



Speaker 14 - 02:04:57

In December, in December 11 or 18.



Speaker 3 - 02:04:59

And then you do. But everything award.



Speaker 14 - 02:05:01

And do we think we can have a quorum based on the feedback we just got?



Speaker 13 - 02:05:09

Mr. Vice Chair, could we then potentially look at the 4th of December? Would that work? Commissioner Shuham, with the swearing in and the votes, it does not solve the Hollywood problem.



Speaker 7 - 02:05:24

Proposed, please.



Speaker 13 - 02:05:27

What if were to look at the 10th commissioner? Member Horland, would the 10th work better for you?



Speaker 15 - 02:05:38

10 December. I'm free. 10 December.



Speaker 13 - 02:05:42

Could the 10th work for everybody?



Speaker 1 - 02:05:44

I know not available.



Speaker 13 - 02:05:45

A lot of us are on the mpo, but we're always going to have.



Speaker 3 - 02:05:49

Somebody who's not available. That's always going to be. That's Going to be. There's too many people here.



Speaker 13 - 02:05:54

What about. What about the 9th of December? That's a Wednesday. The 9th.



Speaker 15 - 02:06:00

I can do the 9th.



Speaker 3 - 02:06:03

I'm seeing. No, no, I'm seeing those. It's sending me like, the 11th works better than all of them, from what I'm hearing. Denise. I know. Do you have. Do you have a.



Speaker 15 - 02:06:20

And I. I do, but I mean, as I know. I know if I'm the only one. But this keeps getting moved as a large city, I guess my voice will not be there.



Speaker 3 - 02:06:34

Do you have an alternate?



Speaker 15 - 02:06:36

I do have an alternate who has not really been involved.



Speaker 3 - 02:06:39

It's not you. I know it's not you.



Speaker 15 - 02:06:40

I will make sure she's there.



Speaker 3 - 02:06:42

But you could. You could kind of let her. Him. Her know what you're.



Speaker 18 - 02:06:47

You're.



Speaker 3 - 02:06:48

You know, because you have the background on it. You could.



Speaker 15 - 02:06:52

I'll do my best.



Speaker 3 - 02:06:53

Well, can you. Can't they. With alternates? No. No.



Speaker 8 - 02:06:58

Right.



Speaker 14 - 02:07:01

I think we should really wait till January so that everyone's here. And I think if four weeks has some impact, so be it.



Speaker 4 - 02:07:11

Kind of agree with Ben.



Speaker 3 - 02:07:14

Is January okay with everybody that does. I mean, we're the other. The RFP is still gonna have to be voted on that stuff. Still gotta be.



Speaker 14 - 02:07:22

It's January 15th. Is the January scheduled meeting? If I'm looking at the calendar right.



Speaker 6 - 02:07:28

Correct.



Speaker 3 - 02:07:30

Okay, just.



Speaker 9 - 02:07:31

So January 15th for the election. The meeting in December is at. Going to be the 18th or the 11th. We still have that issue. Decide when the December meeting is going to be.



Speaker 3 - 02:07:42

Who can't be here again on the 18th. Who cannot. I'm sorry. December. December. Josh, you can't be here. Right. All right.



Speaker 7 - 02:07:57

I think beam.



Speaker 15 - 02:07:58

I'm sorry to interrupt, but you need to ensure who can be there from small cities and who can be there from large cities. That's what's important for the vote.



Speaker 4 - 02:08:06

Correct.



Speaker 8 - 02:08:06

Denise brings up a valid point that my. My. My election doesn't matter. I'm a middle city and I have time on my term. It really matters. The consensus for large and small, because that's who's going to be ultimately. I know. I know. There's like a blood feud between Sarah and Sorensen. So I'm looking. I want to be there for said feud. So. But those are the people we really should be. We should be looking at.



Speaker 9 - 02:08:29

So I think were talking about doing the election in January. So that issue is not Commissioner Riddell. I think were talking about January for the election. This is for the December meeting when we talk about the RFPs, whether it's the 18th through 11th. So that issue about the.



Speaker 3 - 02:08:46

To make sure we have a quorum for the 11th, for December, it sounds like the 11th is a better date. Yes. Is there that. That, you know, we won't be doing elections that day, the elections in January. Does that all make sense?



Speaker 11 - 02:09:01

Correct.

Speaker 3 - 02:09:02



Everybody okay with that? Yeah. So we need a motion to change the meeting date to the 11th. I'll entertain a motion.



Speaker 4 - 02:09:10

Yep.



Speaker 14 - 02:09:10

So moved.



Speaker 3 - 02:09:11

We have a motion to second. All those in favor? Any opposed? Okay, that date is now for that. We're going to change the election dates to January 15th. I need a motion for that. Moved. All in favor?



Speaker 1 - 02:09:25

Aye.



Speaker 3 - 02:09:26

Any opposed? Okay. All right, we're good. All right. Jeez. Okay, back to. I'm sorry, what'd you say? Melissa? We will. We will be. Yeah. Okay. I'm gonna go back to public comment. What's that? Okay, we have John Casagrandi. Okay. So we're done with voting. Okay. All right. So we just. So everybody knows we no longer have a quorum in case we wanted to try to vote on something. We can't. But we have a number of things to go over. John, you have the floor.



Speaker 20 - 02:10:18

Good morning, chair members. John Casagrande, Coastal Waste and Recycling. My request to the authority here is straightforward. Do not proceed with the global agreement. I ask each of you to look at this decision not simply

from the perspective of the authority, but as the commissioners, council members, mayors of the municipalities that represent their interest, not Broward County. Before committing your communities to an exclusive agreement, give the competitive market an opportunity to demonstrate what it can provide in pricing, capacity, recycling and local infrastructure. Broward county has a network of permanent post collection facilities in operation today. Local companies have spent tens of millions of dollars on these facilities that again, that are processing the C and D, sorry, construction and demolition, the bulk waste, the yard waste, single stream recycling, and Class 1 solid waste.



Speaker 20 - 02:11:14

Those facilities provide existing capacity, local infrastructure that can benefit the authority and more importantly, that can benefit your participating communities. Again, remember who you're here to represent. You're not here to represent the authority of Broward county, but the people who vote you into your seats, Those are the people that will foot the bill for the disposal cost in the future. The authority should take the advantage of that network, not shut it down. Allowing permitted facilities to compete would provide access to more locations, more capacity, and again, more competitive pricing. A broader network of qualified vendors can also reduce hauling distances, fuel cost and labor costs. And what does that mean? This all translates into lower collection costs, lower Collection rates for each of your cities and towns.



Speaker 20 - 02:12:01

You should direct SCS to develop an RFP for each material type, not lump them all together under the category of additional waste that's in the Global Agreement. I advise you all to get a copy of the global agreement.



Speaker 4 - 02:12:14

Read it.



Speaker 20 - 02:12:14

It's heavy reading. Give it to your city attorneys, municipal attorneys, have them help you and understand what it is. It lumps the additional waste into one category. We should have an RFP fund for C and D yard waste, bulk waste, class 1, single class 1 solid waste and single stream material. And one more thing I'd like to add about yard waste. Yard waste is going to have to be collected separately. I advise you to go to your collectors, whether it's Waste Pro Republic, Waste Management or Coastal, and ask them hypothetically what the cost would be to collect bulk and yard waste separately. As we jump into this yard waste search, yes, it's recyclable, but what is that going to be an overall cost, not just disposal cost. You heard Broward county position the need for the Global Agreement as an emergency backup.



Speaker 20 - 02:13:05

Well, don't you all go out for emergency hurricane cleanup contracts and have the storm debris guys come in and give you prices every day? While the same thing should be done with the backup for the emergency service,.



Speaker 10 - 02:13:17

Don't just give it away.



Speaker 20 - 02:13:18

The authority should consider all existing permanent facilities. The master plan costs are 75%. Let's leverage all the infrastructure. We respectfully ask the authority not to accept the assignment of the Golden Green except preserve competition that's all about and help cure the crisis that's in place today and use all the facilities and do competitive bidding. Thank you.



Speaker 3 - 02:13:39

Thank you, John. Next we have Grant Smith.



Speaker 5 - 02:13:52

Good morning.



Speaker 21 - 02:13:53

Good morning. My name is Grant Smith. I'm here representing Waste Connections of Florida. Waste Connections is a family of companies that operates in 46 states. 25,000 Employees, \$10 billion in revenue every year, hundreds of employees in Dade and Broward counties, two facilities in Broward and tens of millions of dollars of infrastructure here in this county and two Class 1 landfills in North Florida. Waste Connections. And you may not have heard of us because we're not that well known and you haven't heard of us. Maybe because we just do things right. There's no problems. Our names don't come up because we perform. We perform disposal for the cities of currently, for the cities of Hallandale, Hollywood, Deerfield Beach, Pembroke Pines, Lauderdale by the Sea and Oakland park and Weston through Republic.



Speaker 21 - 02:14:54

We may not be well known, but we do a lot for this community, we are here. I am here because two meetings ago, not the last meeting, the one before that, there was a handout given out that said you will have one or more providers for disposal services. And then the last meeting, the handout was handed out that said, we are choosing one provider for disposal services. If this SWA does that, you're doing a disservice to your residents. There is a thriving industry here in this county that is currently taking all of everything that everybody produces and gets rid of

it one way, shape or form, whether it's recycling, whether it's disposal, whether it's landfill, whether it's burning it, whatever that is, there's a thriving industry that does it.



Speaker 21 - 02:15:46

I haven't heard and I'm in this business and I've been doing this kind of work for a very long time. I haven't heard of any crisis on this. These things work and you don't hear about them. I would like to mention one other thing because you didn't take public comment while this was being discussed. But as you know, because I was with you at a lot of the meetings when cities were adopting the Second Amendment, choosing to sign on to the swa, signing to the Second Amendment, whatever it was, there were a lot of cities that were reluctant to sign on. And we're looking for that off ramp for the. We'll call it the fork in the road. The fork in the road of whether to stay in or not based on the.



Speaker 21 - 02:16:35

What this body adopts as a recommendation for award and based on any reason those cities can get out. But what I'm hearing today is that you're going to be asking cities that may be reluctant to be in this group in the first place to pass a flow control ordinance before they may choose to be in or out. Makes no sense to me. You're going to go back to cities that were saying, we don't know if we want to stay in, but we'll decide that later, and then ask them to enact flow control prior to them deciding to be in. I think that's a bad policy decision. I don't think it'll be well received by the cities. Thank you very much.



Speaker 3 - 02:17:17

Thank you, Grant. Last we have, Richard Ramcha.



Speaker 1 - 02:17:21

Sure.



Speaker 3 - 02:17:21

Question, question on that.



Speaker 14 - 02:17:24

I. I would think the flow control would be contingent upon joining.



Speaker 3 - 02:17:31

I think so. I think that's how it was discussed here.



Speaker 20 - 02:17:34

Okay.



Speaker 4 - 02:17:35

Yeah.



Speaker 14 - 02:17:35

So I think it'd be.



Speaker 8 - 02:17:36

It wouldn't.



Speaker 3 - 02:17:38

Yeah. In other words, it wouldn't be going. Yeah, I think that was. Go ahead, Sam. I Think that's the way it was?



Speaker 4 - 02:17:44

Yeah.



Speaker 9 - 02:17:44

Okay.



Speaker 14 - 02:17:45

Because I. I agree with that.



Speaker 8 - 02:17:46

Okay.



Speaker 19 - 02:17:46

The flow control ordinance would have that caveat in there. It'd be pigeon upon the members adoption of adopting it after the second Amendment. And also the as far as what was stated about the one or more and it not being there was a Scribner's error. We made a presentation yesterday to BCCMA and all three of the solicitations say one or more. So it's a scrivener's error that more was taken out in the prior.



Speaker 4 - 02:18:12

Great.



Speaker 19 - 02:18:13

Sorry about that. It was not the intention. It will say one or more for both for msw, one for yard trash and for recycling.



Speaker 4 - 02:18:22

Perfect.



Speaker 3 - 02:18:22

Okay, great. Thanks Richard. Welcome.



Speaker 5 - 02:18:27

Good morning Vice Chair Furr and board members for this meeting. I especially appreciate your willingness to listen to everyone's comments, concerns and your efforts to advocate for environmental protection and public health. I have four important questions regarding the upcoming waste disposal, RFP and recycling. The first one has been touched on but would like to find out when is the RFP expected to be finalized and published? The second question, will there be a public hearing or formal opportunity for residents and stakeholders to provide input before the RFP is finalized? Public participation is essential because these decisions will affect communities throughout Broward County. Third, what roles will the Executive committee, governing board and TAC play in reviewing and approving the rfp? Will the TAC have an opportunity to review the draft language and provide recommendations and which party will have final approval for publication?



Speaker 5 - 02:19:38

Fourth, would the authority consider reviewing and approving the Circular Economy and Sustainable Resource management workshop proposal I submitted to the Executive Director? This training would strengthen members understanding of waste reduction, recycling, resource recovery and sustainable alternatives to disposal. I respectfully encourage all authority members to approach the RFP in alignment with the zero waste principles outlined in the master plan. Our long term goal should be to divert more waste from disposal, recover valuable resources and return them to the economy through a circular system that benefits Broward county environmentally and economically. Transparency, meaningful public participation and informed decision making are essential to achieving these goals. Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to your clarification on these four questions and the Board's consideration of the proposal. Proposed workshop thank you.



Speaker 3 - 02:20:41

Thank you, Richard. Okay, I'll just say there's. There's going to be ample opportunity for public input right here. So that's where you're going to have a lot of it. And yes, on most of those questions, to be honest, the circular economy aspect of it that needs that would need to be agenda to be able to talk about it a little bit more. The other ones I think are you going to, you're going to have multiple opportunities to review and have public input. Okay, with that we're going to go to Executive Director update again.



Speaker 11 - 02:21:20

Just to.



Speaker 19 - 02:21:21

Go over what was discussed at the executive meeting. You know, we have one pages out to all the cities trying to get some information, you know, finalize all the information, update everything to get the latest facts of what your tonnages are and your current contracts. We contacted almost all the, well, all the cities and you know, working with all of them. So just know that we are working with your cities and we may reach out, be reaching out to them a little bit more in the future. But we've pretty much got everything we need. But that's really it. So.



Speaker 3 - 02:21:53

Okay, that's the update. Any questions? Member Thomas, did you have a question? If you're. Oh, your card's up. Sorry. Financial update.



Speaker 4 - 02:22:13

Thanks.



Speaker 8 - 02:22:13

Vice thanks. Vice Chair for Alex Petrone from Cone Resnick here to give the financial position for Broward SWA. As of the end of the fiscal year September 2026, the authority had cash and cash equivalents of \$2,539,000. The authority had collected all of its members assessments by the end of this fiscal year. We'll be starting to send the new member assessments for the fiscal year 2027 in seven to 10 days. After subtracting the entity's liabilities from the authority's net equity position, it stood at \$2,235,000. That was slightly higher than what we originally budgeted at the budget meeting a while back. If you have any questions, please.



Speaker 3 - 02:22:59

Okay. Thank you very much. Appreciate it. I guess we could do the procurement strategy update. Does anybody. Was everybody here for that? Was anybody not here for SES's proposal or presentation? Okay, all good. We can go past that. Okay, very good. Let's go to C and D. Commercial Recycling Subcommittee. Thank you, Chair.



Speaker 11 - 02:23:25

C and D has been going over some legal matters with the county. We have also met with some of the haulers and some of the other members. They are the MRFs and other people involved trying to get together with the construction industry to get their input on it.



Speaker 3 - 02:23:43

We will do that and we will.



Speaker 11 - 02:23:44

Follow up and then I'll turn it over to legal counsel Cole for his input on what's been happening with the county as far as the legal end of the CMD spectrum.



Speaker 9 - 02:23:57

Yeah, so we've been working with the county Attorney's office to get to the bottom. We've. We've been working with the county attorney's office to get to the bottom of various legal issues. We have a meeting scheduled for Tuesday with them to discuss.



Speaker 3 - 02:24:10

Okay. And I think for. For clarification with regard to C and D. I know John had just brought up having a separate RFP for that, but the reality is that maybe is going to be done by ordinance. Correct? I mean, I think that's what we're trying to do. Can you elaborate on that a little bit? If the county is able to do an ordinance, then that kind of precludes some of that.



Speaker 10 - 02:24:35

Yes. In terms of what the subcommittee is working on, there's two potential pathways.



Speaker 3 - 02:24:40

Okay.



Speaker 10 - 02:24:41

One is a countywide ordinance that would ban the direct disposal of construction and demolition debris without being processed to extract the valuable materials from it. That's one lane. The other lane is to go city by city and build it or include it in the building process, the permitting process. And that's really at the heart of the legal analysis, to understand what is allowable and what isn't, and then presenting that to this body for policy direction in terms of which way you would prefer to go.



Speaker 3 - 02:25:14

Okay, so. And that's where you. Okay, so everybody understand that?



Speaker 11 - 02:25:21

Yes, that's. That's a good analysis.



Speaker 3 - 02:25:23

Okay. Very good. Okay, thank you. Next we'll go to Education and Outreach Subcommittee. We have a presentation.



Speaker 17 - 02:25:33

Good morning. Yes, thank you so much. Vice Chair, for our members of the executive committee, I have a short presentation. We had a wonderful meeting on Wednesday with our Education and Outreach subcommittee, and they have developed a wonderful body of work for quarter one that I'm going to be presenting. But before I do that, to close the loop, next slide, please, on what we accomplished in fiscal year 2026. These are. I'm not going to read all the numbers, but these are the quantitative results of the outreach efforts. There is, of course, a qualitative component to everything we're doing.



Speaker 17 - 02:26:10

And I will say that thus far, the feedback and willingness of media to participate, you know, will work with, say, like, an island tv, and they'll take it upon themselves to say, I have 60,000 followers on my Instagram, and I'm going to go out and help you with this because they really care about it. So we have really been in a very positive place with this, where so many want to help us amplify the message, and I can't tell you how rare that is. So thank you for all of you, for your support and Vice Chair fur to you, because you were really at the center of the why when we started this work. And I appreciate that very much. Next slide please. So what are we focusing on? How are we focusing our efforts?



Speaker 17 - 02:27:01

You know, in marketing, all too often it's like, well build awareness and then get to behavior change. And many people skip the care component of it. And that is very important to this work we're doing here. So this year how we'll be measuring, of course we want to get to behavior change, measuring diversion, measuring waste reduction, measuring our recycling rate. And in terms of marketing, public outreach, these are the things we have available to us to help get there. Next slide please. So I won't bore you with all the details here. I do want to highlight a couple things of what we'll be working on between now and the end of the year. Specifically, as you all know where Broward County Public Schools will be rolling out the curriculum district wide in November. So we'll be doing some support work there.



Speaker 17 - 02:27:54

I'm going to get to a slide where I have a checklist item for all of you. But you will be hearing from our team to film a video for each of the schools that are participating in the 26 pilot school program that we spoke about last month. So please answer our call on that because my team will do the heavy lifting and help you do your video for your school. But we do need to do it in a time frame that's fast. Of course we're doing all of the things that the authority needs. Publishing the RFPs on the website, all of the work of this body and in addition just continuing to pump the message out of reducing contamination. And everyone can do something now to help recycle, right? Next slide please. Okay, here is what's coming down the pike.



Speaker 17 - 02:28:45

You all approve the America Recycles Day proclamation. Your city will be getting it, so hopefully you can get it on an agenda. We're going to be taking a survey of what cities have green advisory council type committees already in place. So if you have one of those, please answer that and let us know. We'll compile all this in one email for you. You'll be scheduling your video recording for your school October 31st. Hopefully by then we will get it done. A pivot memo will be going out to all of the schools to be selected as one of the 26 pilot schools.

Speaker 17 - 02:29:22



So you will get an email from us if you want to give a heads up to any principals in your cities and towns to let them know like, hey, in order to be one of these 26 pilot schools, you got to respond to the pivot memo because the schools are only giving the principals a week to do that. And then of course, you'll be getting toolkits and things as we mirror the curriculum reinforcement into the different community groups in your cities. Next slide. I think that's it. Yeah. Happy to take questions.



Speaker 3 - 02:29:56

Very good, Mr.



Speaker 6 - 02:29:58

Chair.



Speaker 13 - 02:29:59

So, colleagues, this is where we need you. We need your help. So they put together that timeline and that checklist so that we can give you and your team a heads up. And the great thing about this plan is that our team is going to really do a lot of the heavy lifting for you. We're going to give you the tools, but you've got to make sure that you're communicating the tools with your team at the city and making sure that they actually get it done. This is really our commitment to engaging every single member of this governing body so that we're all boots on the ground from the beginning. So thank you in advance for your help.



Speaker 3 - 02:30:40

Others member.



Speaker 1 - 02:30:41

Thomas, thank you so much. Wanted to just pivot back on. When did you say you'll be sending out those

responses to get back from the schools?



Speaker 17 - 02:30:53

Broward County Public School staff has told my team that they are planning to send the pivot memos out district wide to all the schools in the 26 member cities around October 20th. So once we get the confirmation that the memo has gone out that very same day, we will send something to all of you to say, hey, here's an email if you want a prompt that the pivot memo has gone out.



Speaker 1 - 02:31:18

Okay. Thank you.



Speaker 17 - 02:31:19

You're welcome.



Speaker 3 - 02:31:21

Any others? One suggestion I would give, and I know we're already working with schools, is that every school has a, like a media specialist that can actually create films.



Speaker 5 - 02:31:34

And.



Speaker 3 - 02:31:36

PSAs and having the students make them. For every school, there are cities that actually will broadcast that it goes a lot forward. When they know that kids are making that, it goes a lot further. And it's for free. They'll do it for free and they'll take the time to do it. And that's an opportunity you've got. You know, I don't know how many schools

there are, but there's a lot. And each one of them has that capability. It's a great way to use that kind of kid power to get the word up. And, and then you have, you know, it's localized, it's per city. It's right there. Yeah. Okay. Nice job. Thank you. Thank you. Any new or Old business.



Speaker 17 - 02:32:27

Yes. Chair.



Speaker 13 - 02:32:29

The calendar. So I just want to point out that our next meeting, which I believe is scheduled for November 20th, that's also the time when National League of Cities conference is happening, and so I won't.



Speaker 17 - 02:32:47

Be here for that.



Speaker 13 - 02:32:47

I don't know if anybody else is planning to go to the NLC conference, but that might impact.



Speaker 3 - 02:32:52

Is anybody else going to the nlc?



Speaker 13 - 02:32:57

Okay. And then for the calendar, our first meeting is scheduled for January 15th of 2027. And I'd like to respectfully request perhaps that we consider another date, if my colleagues are open to that. And certainly for the Outreach

Committee, I won't be available for the 11th, the November 18th meeting, because I'll be at NLC. So. And I think that's the same thing for the March Outreach Committee meeting as well. That's also clashes with NLC dates.



Speaker 3 - 02:33:44

We can't actually change it now because we don't have a quorum.



Speaker 13 - 02:33:46

Okay.



Speaker 3 - 02:33:47

But we can circulate those concerns. Okay. Any other thoughts? All right, just final thoughts here. I think I'd only become a county commissioner. I'd only been a county commissioner like a month when the first one of the first big items came up. And it had to do with the global agreement. We were voting on that, and the question was whether or not to shut down the North Incinerator, the North Waste Energy Plan. And I did everything I could for the county to convince my fellow commissioners not to shut that down. I lost that vote 8 to 1. You weren't there. You would have voted for me. I know you would. I know. But that set in motion a lot of things because I, having been on the RRB for years, I knew what that meant. And, you know, that's kind of what prompted. Right.



Speaker 3 - 02:34:51

I think month after that is when the Alpha 250 came. Came up. And it, you know, it has said, you know, it's been a. An education like, no, like I've never thought I would have a chance to have. But it also was like, this is good work to do. This is a great work. You know, if you're going to be an elected official, You want. You want to work on. Yeah. You want to work on things that matter. And. And this is one of the. And. So anyway, damn. Working with this group has been an honor. The. The intelligence and passion of all of you all has made it a lot easier. You know, it's like working with really good people. All the people, you guys, all the different organizations. You know, it's. It's just been the kind of teamwork has been fantastic. So.



Speaker 3 - 02:36:34

So don't screw it up. Thank you. Motion to adjourn. Thank you.

